

Answering Reviewers

June 11th, 2013

Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in Word format (file name: ESPS Manuscript No. 3609 – edited.doc).

Title: New findings in the genetics of schizophrenia

Author: Ladislav Hosak

Name of Journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

ESPS Manuscript NO: 3609

The manuscript has been improved according to the suggestions of reviewers:

Reviewer 01054945:

I address non-specific genetic findings. I discuss implications for further studies (i.e. using a dimensional approach).

I discuss the GxE issue of cannabis exposure, COMT gene, and schizophrenia.

In the conclusion section, I only suggest that **future (i.e. better)** findings will change treatments and reduce stigma.

Reviewer 02445219:

Dear Reviewer, you state that „The review is quite superficial...” I disagree with you. My manuscript is not a review, but only **an editorial**. I do not fully understand why the WJP journal requires an editorial to be written in a form of a review article, even if it is not a review article. Nevertheless, I met this formal requirement of the journal. This could have mixed you up. In other international journals, editorials are usually written on one page and are only general. In my opinion, my manuscript is a comprehensive editorial. However, I improved my editorial according to your suggestions.

I mention the details of the article in Dialogues Clin Neurosci which you recommend.

I systematically use the short cut GWAS instead of the term „genome-wide associaton study“ after its introduction.

All changes in my manuscript are in bold letters, including new references.

I thank the reviewers for helping me to improve my manuscript.

Thank you again for publishing my manuscript in the World Journal of Psychiatry.

Sincerely yours,

Prof. Ladislav Hosak, M.D., Ph.D.

Dpt. of Psychiatry

University Hospital

Sokolska 581

500 05 Hradec Kralove

Czech Republic

E-mail: hosak@lfhk.cuni.cz

