

ESPS Peer-review Report**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Psychiatry**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 10034**Title:** What's in a name? Compliance, adherence and concordance in chronic psychiatric disorders**Reviewer code:** 02445225**Science editor:** Wen, Ling-Ling**Date sent for review:** 2014-03-11 14:17**Date reviewed:** 2014-04-02 01:51

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very insightful, important and easy to read editorial on the topic compliance, adherence and concordance. Dr. Chakrabarti lays his focus on the implications of these three concepts for the therapeutic relationship and the treatment of psychiatric patients. I missed two points that should be at least shortly mentioned: 1) To my knowledge there is very little empirical evidence on the effects of compliance, adherence or concordance on the effectiveness of psychiatric therapies. E.g. reduced adherence may for example not automatically negatively affect treatment outcomes but minimize side effects or be a sign of high self-efficacy 2) Lack of compliance or adherence may be a hint that the treatment or its consequences are not experienced as a reward. Behavior is most likely to be repeated if its natural consequences are rewarding. If a treatment is not experienced as rewarding this tell us something about the nature of the treatment and this information should be included in the dialogue with the patient.

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

ESPS Manuscript NO: 10034

Title: What's in a name? Compliance, adherence and concordance in chronic psychiatric disorders

Reviewer code: 02445209

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2014-03-11 14:17

Date reviewed: 2014-04-03 02:47

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear author, I really liked your article. It is at a good scientific level, and also contains valuable ethical considerations. The English is perfect. I only suggest that the article should be better labeled as a "review" than an "editorial", without any change in its content. Reviews are usually more frequently read and cited than editorials. Best regards The reviewer

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

ESPS Manuscript NO: 10034

Title: What's in a name? Compliance, adherence and concordance in chronic psychiatric disorders

Reviewer code: 02465274

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2014-03-11 14:17

Date reviewed: 2014-04-11 02:06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Well-written manuscript examining the core features of compliance. This is a must-read article for any practicing physician.