



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

ESPS manuscript NO: 25219

Title: Efficacy and safety of botulinum toxin in treatment of anismus: A systematic review

Reviewer's code: 02446061

Reviewer's country: Mexico

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-02-29 10:37

Date reviewed: 2016-05-06 06:07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors: The review is attractive. I suggest you check the entire manuscript in order to avoid typing, grammar and punctuation mistakes. But also, I suggest to support in a better way the conclusions, in particular the following sentences 'BTX- A is considered relatively safe, although minor transient FI may occur in some patients' and 'on the contrary it can lead to higher complication rates so it is better avoided'. Poor data are presented supporting these sentences. All the best,
REviewer

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

ESPS manuscript NO: 25219

Title: Efficacy and safety of botulinum toxin in treatment of anismus: A systematic review

Reviewer's code: 00505035

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-02-29 10:37

Date reviewed: 2016-05-13 01:06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a short review about the botulinum toxin treatment for patients with anismus. This review is well written. I just indicate minor points. 1. Please add the basic scientific description (molecular and biological mechanistic feature) about the botulinum toxin in INTRODUCTION 2. Page 6, line 6, "Meta-Analysis" should read "Meta-Analysis". 3. In conclusion section, author describes "BTX-A is considered relatively safe". But complication rate was various, some has very little but some has 20 %. So it looks difficult to conclude "safe". Author should say "need more analysis using more patients".

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

ESPS manuscript NO: 25219

Title: Efficacy and safety of botulinum toxin in treatment of anismus: A systematic review

Reviewer's code: 00183658

Reviewer's country: Thailand

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-02-29 10:37

Date reviewed: 2016-05-20 23:11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article is aimed to evaluate efficacy and safety of botulinum toxin (BTX-A) in management of patients with anismus. The title is "Efficacy and Safety of Botulinum Toxin in Treatment of Anismus: A Systematic Review". 1. Please recommend the readers "How to apply this knowledge for routine clinical practice?".