

Reply to the reviewers

<p>This is an interesting study showing that Iranian physicians and nurses have positive attitudes towards the use of telemedicine in diabetes management. I have some suggestions to improve the quality of the presentation.</p> <p>Abstract. Authors must give some details about the type of questionnaire administered in this study, the number of questions, the analytical approach (I guess the score should range between 1 and 5, this must be mentioned, otherwise the numbers in the abstract are meaningless to the reader)</p>	<p>First of all, thank you very much for your comments.</p> <p>The comment was applied.</p>
<p>Last line of the introduction and title. The terms “clinician” should be replaced with the more appropriate “healthcare professionals”, since both doctors and nurses were recruited in this study.</p>	<p>The comment was applied.</p>
<p>The title must specify that this study was performed in Iran.</p>	<p>The comment was applied.</p>
<p>Methods. Authors must detail how the results of the questionnaire were quantified/summarized (e.g. computation of average score, comparison by t-test or Anova, etc.)</p>	<p>The comment was applied.</p>
<p>The full questionnaire should be included in an appendix.</p>	<p>The questionnaire was included as Appendix I.</p>
<p>Results. I recommend shortening this section.</p>	<p>The comment was applied.</p>
<p>Discussion. Authors must discuss the fact that their results apply only to their national settings and cannot be generalized.</p>	<p>The comment was applied.</p>