

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

Manuscript NO: 38570

Title: Clinicians' perceptions about factors influencing the use of telemedicine in diabetes management

Reviewer's code: 02456031

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Li-Jun Cui

Date sent for review: 2018-03-08

Date reviewed: 2018-03-13

Review time: 5 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting study showing that iranian physicians and nurses have positive attitudes towards the use of telemedicine in diabetes management. I have some suggestions to improve the quality of the presentation. Abstract. Authors must give



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

some details about the type of questionnaire administered in this study, the number of questions, the analytical approach (I guess the score should range between 1 and 5, this must be mentioned, otherwise the numbers in the abstract are meaningless to the reader). Last line of the introduction and title. The terms “clinician” should be replaced with the more appropriate “healthcare professionals”, since both doctors and nurses were recruited in this study. The title must specify that this study was performed in Iran. Methods. Authors must detail how the results of the questionnaire were quantified/summarized (e.g. computation of average score, comparison by t-test or Anova, etc.) The full questionnaire should be included in an appendix. Results. I recommend to shorten this section. Discussion. Authors must discuss the fact that their results apply only to their national settings and cannot be generalized.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No