

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 44907

Title: Comparison of decompression tubes with metallic stents for the management of right-sided malignant colonic obstruction

Reviewer's code: 03699990

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Jia-Ping Yan

Date sent for review: 2018-12-12

Date reviewed: 2018-12-20

Review time: 5 Hours, 8 Days

| SCIENTIFIC QUALITY | LANGUAGE QUALITY | CONCLUSION | PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS |
|--|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept | Peer-Review: |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language | (High priority) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept | <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of | (General priority) | Peer-reviewer's expertise on the |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not | language polishing | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision | topic of the manuscript: |
| publish | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision | <input type="checkbox"/> Advanced |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General |
| | | | <input type="checkbox"/> No expertise |
| | | | Conflicts-of-Interest: |
| | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes |
| | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This retrospective study was to evaluate the optimum management strategy for patients with RMCO by comparing the perioperative and oncologic outcomes of bridges to surgery using decompression tubes and metallic stents. Similar researches include

“Rintaro Moroi ,et al.Gastroenterology Research and Practice Volume 2014, Article ID 372918, 6 pages<http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/372918>”and” Akihisa Matsuda,et al. journal of surgical research _ o c t o b e r 2 0 1 6 (2 0 5) 4 7 4 e4 8 1” etc,which focus on Comparison of Short-term outcomes. This retrospective study includes Comparison of Short-term outcomes and the long-term outcomes between decompression tubes with metallic stents for the management of RMCO . As the retrospective design, The main limitation is the small sample size. But this study is a summary of clinical experience, there is still a certain reference value for patients with RMCO in bridge to surgery. Whether the included patients in this study are 18 in TDT group and 17 in SEMS group because 3 and 2 patients suffered technical or clinical failure of tube insertion should be excluded respectively.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 44907

Title: Comparison of decompression tubes with metallic stents for the management of right-sided malignant colonic obstruction

Reviewer's code: 00039578

Reviewer's country: Portugal

Science editor: Jia-Ping Yan

Date sent for review: 2018-12-31

Date reviewed: 2019-01-02

Review time: 1 Hour, 2 Days

| SCIENTIFIC QUALITY | LANGUAGE QUALITY | CONCLUSION | PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS |
|---|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept | Peer-Review: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language | (High priority) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | polishing | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept | <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of | (General priority) | Peer-reviewer's expertise on the |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not | language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision | topic of the manuscript: |
| publish | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision | <input type="checkbox"/> Advanced |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General |
| | | | <input type="checkbox"/> No expertise |
| | | | Conflicts-of-Interest: |
| | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes |
| | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors prove in this series that preoperative bowel decompression using trans-nasal and trans-anal decompression tubes for right-sided malignant colonic obstruction is safe and may improve the long-term outcomes. They also state that this



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

approach is superior to self-expandable metallic stents in overall survival and disease free survival. This is a small series, divided in three groups, retrospective and non-randomized. Its main interest is to show the authors approach in patients with right-sided malignant colonic obstruction using long tubes (trans-nasal and/or trans-anal) which is not usual outside Japan. This method should be assessed in other centers, possibly in a multi-center randomized prospective study, in order to confirm the results and to make a recommendation for all centers treating this patients.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 44907

Title: Comparison of decompression tubes with metallic stents for the management of right-sided malignant colonic obstruction

Reviewer's code: 02855587

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Jia-Ping Yan

Date sent for review: 2018-12-31

Date reviewed: 2019-01-02

Review time: 5 Hours, 2 Days

| SCIENTIFIC QUALITY | LANGUAGE QUALITY | CONCLUSION | PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS |
|--|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept | Peer-Review: |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language | (High priority) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | polishing | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept | <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of | (General priority) | Peer-reviewer's expertise on the |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not | language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision | topic of the manuscript: |
| publish | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection | <input type="checkbox"/> General |
| | | | <input type="checkbox"/> No expertise |
| | | | Conflicts-of-Interest: |
| | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes |
| | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Nicely written manuscript. Interesting to read and instructive. I have no substantial criticism but minor comments: Right side colon obstruction don't carry high mortality nor morbidity. Morbidity and something-less mortality are higher then elective surgery.



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

Update biblio. I understand this is your standard treatment for large bowel obstruction. Please make this crystal clear. If this is the case, explain this is the reason you are not capable to tell whether this routine decompression phase of the treatment is the reason for high percentage of laparoscopic surgery. In the discussion, disclaimer on the reason for choosing one over the other decompression practice. Is this due to availability of experienced endoscopist or radiologist?

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 44907

Title: Comparison of decompression tubes with metallic stents for the management of right-sided malignant colonic obstruction

Reviewer's code: 00068215

Reviewer's country: Romania

Science editor: Jia-Ping Yan

Date sent for review: 2018-12-31

Date reviewed: 2019-01-04

Review time: 23 Hours, 3 Days

| SCIENTIFIC QUALITY | LANGUAGE QUALITY | CONCLUSION | PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS |
|---|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept | Peer-Review: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language | (High priority) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept | <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of | (General priority) | Peer-reviewer's expertise on the |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not | language polishing | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision | topic of the manuscript: |
| publish | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision | <input type="checkbox"/> Advanced |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General |
| | | | <input type="checkbox"/> No expertise |
| | | | Conflicts-of-Interest: |
| | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes |
| | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I recommend to also discuss the quality of life measures between the two procedures (decompression tube vs self-expandable metallic stent) before surgery, the only way to measure the patient centered outcomes. Taking into account the quality of life



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

assessment in parallel with statistical methods of data analyzed, we can develop a basis for individualized clinical decision making. Prof. Dr. Carmen Fierbinteanu-Braticevici

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No