

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Medical Genetics

ESPS manuscript NO: 17298

Title: The value of predictive bioinformatics in inherited metabolic diseases

Reviewer's code: 00646375

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-03-02 19:04

Date reviewed: 2015-04-14 07:06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript briefly summarizes the current difficulties in predicting the correlations between genetic mutations and the severity of inherited metabolic diseases. Although specific solutions were not presented and discussed in detail, the author outlines a number of potential directions that can potentially serve guidance for future research in the field. The manuscript is very well written.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Medical Genetics

ESPS manuscript NO: 17298

Title: The value of predictive bioinformatics in inherited metabolic diseases

Reviewer's code: 00646387

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-03-02 19:04

Date reviewed: 2015-04-04 02:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The proposed work is a great idea and author presents an excellent introduction that might be used to motivate studies using the resources identified. The text is well written and the use of these resources in appropriate studies is well justified. The manuscript does not present any application of this idea but as an editorial I think it may well serve to organize and direct research in a useful way.