

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 58275

Title: Bladder perforation caused by long-term catheterization misdiagnosed as digestive tract perforation: A case report

Reviewer's code: 01588784

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-07-15

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-07-16 14:17

Reviewer performed review: 2020-07-20 20:09

Review time: 4 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I found this manuscript very informative because bladder injuries in bedridden patients may more common than anticipated in the era of aging societies around the world. The authors are suggested to revise the manuscript with following comments. **MAJOR POINTS:** 1. **OUTCOME** and **FOLLOW-UP:** After surgery, 1) any postoperative complications occur? 2) what the authors did for urinary catheterization, re-insertion of urethral catheter (please specify the type of catheter, if applicable), or cystostomy?? **MINOR POINTS:** 1. History of past illness in **CASE PRESENTATION:** “chronic obstructive pulmonary stabilization” means “chronic obstructive pulmonary disease”? 2.

Figure 1E, legend: regarding the sentence “and the end of catheter was inserted into the bladder wall”, “inserted into” may be replaced with “sited in” or “found in” (because the author did not insert the catheter by themselves). 3. **DISCUSSION**, row 6 and 17: The authors cited the article by Chan VSH et al. [3]; however, this article described diagnostic differentiation with non-operative management of bladder diverticulum. Please check if the citation is correct. 4. **DISCUSSION**, row 22: “Jennifer M” must be “Martin J et al.”.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 58275

Title: Bladder perforation caused by long-term catheterization misdiagnosed as digestive tract perforation: A case report

Reviewer's code: 03821481

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, MSc

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Portugal

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-07-15

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-07-17 02:37

Reviewer performed review: 2020-07-21 13:38

Review time: 4 Days and 11 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Fairly well written, interesting case. It should raise awareness to this complication in emergency department patients. However, I would like to know a more detailed history, physical examination and post-operative course. References could also be optimized by citing more recent articles and less clinical cases; at least one review should be included. Please see attached document for a more detailed revision.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 58275

Title: Bladder perforation caused by long-term catheterization misdiagnosed as digestive tract perforation: A case report

Reviewer's code: 00722986

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-07-15

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-07-18 06:56

Reviewer performed review: 2020-07-29 07:22

Review time: 11 Days

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a rare but, clinically insignificant case, well managed, well written. Still, it is interesting, easy to read. The readers should be aware of such a complication.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 58275

Title: Bladder perforation caused by long-term catheterization misdiagnosed as digestive tract perforation: A case report

Reviewer's code: 01588784

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-07-15

Reviewer chosen by: Le Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-08-29 08:23

Reviewer performed review: 2020-08-29 09:01

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have adequately revised the manuscript in accordance with the reviewers' suggestions and comments. This case report is very interesting and informative.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 58275

Title: Bladder perforation caused by long-term catheterization misdiagnosed as digestive tract perforation: A case report

Reviewer's code: 03821481

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, MSc

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Portugal

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-07-15

Reviewer chosen by: Le Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-08-29 14:58

Reviewer performed review: 2020-08-29 15:04

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

In History of Past Illness please correct "indwelling catheter status" instead of "catheter indwelling status".