

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 67146

Title: Role of three-dimensional printing and artificial intelligence in the management of

hepatocellular carcinoma: Challenges and opportunities

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05824555 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-15

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-21 13:13

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-22 12:55

Review time: 23 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper introduces an extensive survey of AI- and 3D Printing-based applications in context of Hepatocellular Carcinoma and Computer-aided solutions, an important field of study that embraces different Computer-Based Solutions such as Artificial Intelligent tools, 3D printing systems, and other innovative technologies to fight against liver cancer. The authors did a lot of work to gather, organize and discuss the state-of-the-art papers as well as recent works covering AI, ML and other trends in computer-generated image Despite the good analyzes and review process, I believe that the applications. Discussion section could be conducted under the analysis of some main/pivotal works, as mentioned in the previous sections. By doing this, readers could have an interesting comparison involving the pros and cons of the current works / methodologies / systems. However, given that the article does a good job of discussing the existing works, I would still recommend accepting this manuscript. Minor correction: "Cochrane, and Scopus databases was conducted using the following algorithms" => "Cochrane, and Scopus databases was conducted using the following queries"