

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 3887

**Title:** P115 promotes growth of gastric cancer through interaction with MIF

**Reviewer code:** 01438231

**Science editor:** Song, Xiu-Xia

**Date sent for review:** 2013-05-31 08:36

**Date reviewed:** 2013-06-06 02:39

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper explores the expression and role of two important proteins in gastric cancer biology. The expression and interactions of P115 and MIF in cell culture and gastric cancer tissues were examined. Unfortunately, the writing is so poor it is difficult to assess the scientific merit. This paper needs to be written at a level of English that warrants publication in a peer reviewed journal. Specific comments: On p. 4 the authors state that normal human samples were obtained with "no invasion." I don't know what this means, but in order to obtain GC or normal tissues from humans, some information has to be given with respect to the approval of procedures by an ethics or IRB committee. The GES cell line is not described anywhere in the paper and just appears in results. In figure 2, the images are labeled A and B, yet discussed as just fig. 2 in the results. Also, I don't believe the authors are using the "-fold" term correctly. See p. 8 2nd paragraph, last line. When something nearly doubles, it is a 2-fold increase. On p. 4 the amount of plasmid that is used is stated, but the plate size is nowhere to be found. P.10-The secretion experiments need to be explained more clearly. On p. 24, the figure legends are labeled 1, 2, 3 and 5. The results are poorly organized. It would be best to separate the shRNA results from the overexpression (plasmid) results. They should not be placed right next to each other. The paper actually has scientific merit, but the writing and presentation is so poor, it is difficult to critique.

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 3887

**Title:** P115 promotes growth of gastric cancer through interaction with MIF

**Reviewer code:** 02459299

**Science editor:** Song, Xiu-Xia

**Date sent for review:** 2013-05-31 08:36

**Date reviewed:** 2013-06-25 09:26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Please mark out the exact name of P115 and MIF when you use them first time. 2. In the abstract, the statistical description and significant test should be mentioned. 3. In the results, for the result of figures, all detected biomarkers, such as, the relative protein level, the fold induction should be described as "n, mean+/-SD" and the P-value of one-way ANOVA analysis.