

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 2451

Title: Portal hypertension and gastrointestinal bleeding – Diagnosis, prevention and management

Reviewer code: 00503453

Science editor: l.l.wen@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-02-22 10:50

Date reviewed: 2013-02-22 18:03

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing | Google Search: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair) | | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | |

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

nice paper accept it

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 2451

Title: Portal hypertension and gastrointestinal bleeding – Diagnosis, prevention and management

Reviewer code: 02441415

Science editor: l.l.wen@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-02-22 10:50

Date reviewed: 2013-02-27 19:18

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair) | | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | |

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

It is well designed review but there is nothing new. I suggest it can be thought to accept.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 2451

Title: Portal hypertension and gastrointestinal bleeding – Diagnosis, prevention and management

Reviewer code: 00069151

Science editor: l.l.wen@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-02-22 10:50

Date reviewed: 2013-03-07 06:11

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair) | | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | |

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

E Biecker wrote a review about treatment and prophylaxis of gastrointestinal bleeding in the setting of liver cirrhosis. The manuscript is extensive and well referenced. It is well structured and easy to read. The argumentation is clear and convincing. The data presented is up-to-date and recent. To my knowledge no major landmark study has been omitted from this review. The pictures presented are fairly clear. The paper in general carries a solid clinical impact and would appeal to large audience of readers. Minor Problems: - Language editing is needed - Figure 7 needs better resolution - Although comprehensive this manuscript lacks innovation or originality

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 2451

Title: Portal hypertension and gastrointestinal bleeding – Diagnosis, prevention and management

Reviewer code: 00069811

Science editor: l.l.wen@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-02-22 10:50

Date reviewed: 2013-03-17 20:09

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair) | language polishing | BPG Search: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor) | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Existed | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

There is some significance of the contents, but less novelty and innovation. The part of the references is too large and many of them are too old. However, the presentation and readability of the manuscript is OK. I am not sure that the figures are suitably used in this review article.