

Response to Reviewer

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade C (A great deal of language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: I read with great interest this manuscript. In particular, I think that the topic exposed falls perfectly within the aim of the journal. I think that the abstract is well structured and highlights well the goal of the work. In my opinion, anyway, the discussion should be extended. In particular, in order to compare this new technique, is necessary to evaluate all the novel insights of endometrial pathologies. Because of this, I suggest these articles to get deeper in the topic: "PMID: 36833105 PMID: 36979434 PMID: 36983243". Considered these points, I think it could be of interest for the readers and, in my opinion, it deserves the priority to be published after minor revisions.

Answer: Thank you for reviewing my research paper. Regarding the point of view you pointed out, I would like to consider this in the future.

4 LANGUAGE POLISHING REQUIREMENTS FOR REVISED MANUSCRIPTS
SUBMITTED BY AUTHORS WHO ARE NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

Answer: Before submitting this paper, I hired a professional English editing company and asked a native speaker expert to proofread the English.