

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 19705

Title: Sarcoma-associated sarcoid reaction: report of cutaneous sarcoid reaction in a patient with liposarcoma

Reviewer's code: 00723886

Reviewer's country: Croatia

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-05-19 14:17

Date reviewed: 2015-06-12 01:42

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors describe a patient with retroperitoneal liposarcoma that developed cutaneous sarcomatoid reaction. The authors, however, do not tell us the size and (sub)type of the liposarcoma, was surgery performed, did the tumor metastasize, and if - where, type of therapy (if any). Are the authors certain that this reaction was connected to this tumor?

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 19705

Title: Sarcoma-associated sarcoid reaction: report of cutaneous sarcoid reaction in a patient with liposarcoma

Reviewer's code: 00066723

Reviewer's country: Netherlands

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-05-19 14:17

Date reviewed: 2015-06-03 04:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a straightforward clinical case study reporting on a liposarcoma patient that presents with a cutaneous sarcoid reaction. At the same time the authors review the literature listing all cases of soft tissues sarcoma that are linked to a sarcoid reaction. Comments: 1) Can the authors provide more information on the liposarcoma (subtype, location, recurrence/metastasis). How was the patient treated? This is useful information in this context. 2) Have the authors followed the guidelines and jurisdiction regarding studies involving cancer patients and sought approval to carry out and publish this study from a institutional medical ethical committee? Please specify in manuscript and provide proof of approval. I was surprised to see the name of the patient in full on the form included as supplemental data.