ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Methodology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7512

Title: Review of Treatment Assessment using DCE-MRI in Breast Cancer Radiation Therapy

Reviewer code: 02445807

Science editor: Qi, Yuan

Date sent for review: 2013-11-22 19:29

Date reviewed: 2013-11-29 21:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A (Excellent)	[Y] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[Y] Grade B (Very good)	[ ] Grade B: minor language polishing	[ ] Existed	[ ] High priority for publication
[ ] Grade C (Good)	[ ] Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	[ ] No records	
[ ] Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	[ ]Rejection
[ ] Grade E (Poor)	[ ] Grade D: rejected	[ ] Existed	[Y] Minor revision
		[ ] No records	[ ] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript presents principles of the DCE-MRI method. It is well documented with a sufficient number of citations. I appreciate very much the tables illustrating the correlation between the physiological parameters and the DCE-MRI measurement. The text is clearly written in good English. Nevertheless, I would recommend adding a more detailed discussion concerning clinical application of the method and its comparison with the state-of-the-art approaches. This would be helpful to attract the attention of   broader public, especially clinical specialists.

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Methodology
ESPS Manuscript NO: 7512
Title: Review of Treatment Assessment using DCE-MRI in Breast Cancer Radiation Therapy
Reviewer code: 02445772
Science editor: Qi, Yuan
Date sent for review: 2013-11-22 19:29
Date reviewed: 2013-12-08 20:50

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A (Excellent)	[ ] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[ ] Grade B (Very good)	[Y] Grade B: minor language polishing	[ ] Existed	[ ] High priority for publication
[Y] Grade C (Good)	[ ] Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	[ ] No records	
[ ] Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade E (Poor)	[ ] Grade D: rejected	[ ] Existed	[Y] Minor revision
		[ ] No records	[ ] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a nicely written review paper about DCE-MRI. I have just some remarks. page 3, line 7: ...scans have been proposed... pls show reference page 3, 2nd par, line 2: among all clinical scenarios.... delete this sentence line 7: delete irreplaceable role; next sentence: standard care of breast cancer; is not true in this way! depends on individual patient; delete or rewrite with reference page 6 line 2/3 spelling errors: "tempoal" "poetentially" page 6 last part: abbreviation is wrong EEM instead of EMM page 7 last paragraph: delete "as stated above..." page 10: RR is the standard abbreviation for relative risk; dont use for reference region page 12 last part: Figure illustrates... which Figure? Table 2: delete reference 15 --> only published data with DOI should be cited no congress absracts! discussion and/or critical comments before the summary are missing.