

Dear Editor,

Thank you for carefully reviewing our manuscript previously titled “**Co-occurrence of IPMN and malignant IPNB complicated by a pancreatobiliary fistula: A case report and review of the literature**” for possible publication in the **World Journal of Clinical Cases**. We are grateful to you and your reviewers for their constructive critique. We have revised the manuscript, highlighting our revisions in red, and have attached point-by-point responses detailing how we have revised the manuscript in response to the reviewers' comments below.

Thank you for your consideration and further review of our manuscript. Please do not hesitate to contact us with any further questions or recommendations.

Yours Sincerely,

Xu Ren, MD, chief physician,

Chief of Gastroenterology, Digestive Disease Hospital of Heilongjiang Provincial Hospital, Harbin150001, Heilongjiang Province, China. hljxhy2001@126.com

Tel: +86-451-88025065

Fax: +86-451-53625671

Reviewer Comments:

1. Please add the “review of literature”, including to add the related references.

Response: done

2 All name should use the abbreviation, please revise others.

Response: done

3. Only one corresponding address should be provided. Author names should be given first, then author title, affiliation, the complete name of institution, city, postcode, province, country, and email.

Please provide your professional email address issued by your institution ([Xiyuan Hospital of China Academy of Chinese Medical Science](#)), we do not accept personal Email address (*i.e.* @163, @ sina, @hotmail, @yahoo, @gmail) for corresponding author.

Response: done

4 All name should use the abbreviation, please revise others.

.

Response: done

5: This is an interesting case report dealing with an unusual coexistence of two different

pancreatic tumors. The authors should be congratulated for their approach to diagnosis using novel technologies. It would be useful to state also in the abstract that the patient eventually underwent pylorus-preserving pancreaticoduodenectomy. In addition, the authors should state whether this is the first reported case or there have been others. In case of similar previous reports, a comparison should be made in the discussion section of the manuscript.

Response: done

6: The Case Report presented is interesting, well-written, easily readable and correctly prepared in accordance to the CARE checklist. Both the Abstract and Core Tip sections effectively summarize the paper content. Introduction is fairly short and informative. Case Report is precisely presented and described. The Discussion section appears a bit too long but contains valuable information. A reasonable amount of appropriate References is included. Images are clear and illustrative of the paper content.

Response: done