

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9042

Title: Magnetic Resonance Cholangiography in the assessment and management of biliary complications after OLT

Reviewer code: 02860745

Science editor: Huan-Huan Zhai

Date sent for review: 2014-01-17 15:17

Date reviewed: 2014-01-23 11:07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

the manuscript is very thorough in the description of biliary complications and explanation of the different available MCR techniques, it is appropriately updated, it has the clinical correlation perfectly explained. It is a great review. Furthermore, the pictures chosen are very clear and perfectly described. All in all, is a great review, however, it needs revision regarding english language.

ESPS Peer-review Report**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Radiology**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 9042**Title:** Magnetic Resonance Cholangiography in the assessment and management of biliary complications after OLT**Reviewer code:** 02505244**Science editor:** Huan-Huan Zhai**Date sent for review:** 2014-01-17 15:17**Date reviewed:** 2014-01-24 19:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting review clearly and well describing the clinical issue, but nothing particularly new is reported.

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9042

Title: Magnetic Resonance Cholangiography in the assessment and management of biliary complications after OLT

Reviewer code: 02830340

Science editor: Huan-Huan Zhai

Date sent for review: 2014-01-17 15:17

Date reviewed: 2014-02-09 03:33

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, Thanks for this well-written manuscript with valuable educational and practical implications. Some minor suggestions could be presented as: 1- Please indicate the full description of HAT, which is hepatic artery thrombosis, when it is presented in manuscript for the first time. (page 4, line 10). 2- In page 8, line 10: "in" should be replaced by "is". 3- Page 9, line 7: "an" seems to be correct not "and". 4- In figure 10, two images are very similar and image (b) does not add any additional finding to image (a). 5- Some of references need correction in format including 8, 26, 33 and 51. Best regards,