



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS manuscript NO: 26405

Title: Update on pre-diabetes: Focus on diagnostic criteria and cardiovascular risk

Reviewer's code: 02446515

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-04-08 14:23

Date reviewed: 2016-05-13 07:12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Number ID: 01429092 Manuscript Type: Review article. Update on pre-diabetes: focus on diagnostic criteria and cardiovascular risk

Comments: A review article with good structure. Only some suggestions and some minor errors.

- In page 4, 'normal glucose tolerance (NGT)'; page 7, "NGT/NT"; page 7-8, "normal fasting glucose (NFG) and normal glucose tolerance (NGT)"; page 9, "NGT/NFG we found that the NFG/NGT subjects with HbA1c 39-46 mmol/mol showed an alteration of subclinical markers of cardiovascular risk compared with NFG/NGT"; page 10, "NFG and NT". Please use uniform term.
- Some studies have shown that there is poor concordance between HbA1c and FPG or 2hPG during an OGTT in diagnosis of DM. Some studies recommended lower cut-off point of HbA1c for diagnosis of DM. So, the authors may add your comment on this point in diagnosis of pre-diabetes by HbA1c.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS manuscript NO: 26405

Title: Update on pre-diabetes: Focus on diagnostic criteria and cardiovascular risk

Reviewer's code: 02446558

Reviewer's country: Finland

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-04-08 14:23

Date reviewed: 2016-05-31 11:11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review study of Update on pre-diabetes: focus on diagnostic criteria and cardiovascular risk is a very well conducted and written study. This study provides good information and I believe it could be very useful in clinical practice. I have no major comments on it just the text should be checked for the language one more time before publication.