

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 26843

Title: Small bowel entrapment and ureteropelvic junction disruption associated with L3 Chance fracture-dislocation

Reviewer's code: 02904149

Reviewer's country: Australia

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-04-28 21:46

Date reviewed: 2016-05-26 19:40

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The case report is rare and has perhaps not been reported in literature till date. I congratulate the authors for their efforts. However, there are several syntax errors. Some sentences and paragraphs need to be rewritten with a better clarity of thought. Abbreviations may be used for often repeated terms. Abstract also needs to be shortened with omission of unnecessary details. Conclusions and take-home message could have been better too. Any references to related case reports (with similar mechanism of injury or dynamics) need to be discussed further.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 26843

Title: Small bowel entrapment and ureteropelvic junction disruption associated with L3 Chance fracture-dislocation

Reviewer's code: 02534005

Reviewer's country: Czech Republic

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-04-28 21:46

Date reviewed: 2016-05-29 01:27

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The present manuscript is a first report about pre-operative diagnosis of both Chance fracture and small bowel entrapment at the fracture site in a 7-year old child. The case report is simply and clearly exposed, the documentation is fine, and the discussion is also well developed. There are few points to review: at page 5 line 12 the sentence ending with "...passenger" is incomplete; in the Discussion, at page 8 line 22 I suppose should be "," and not "." according to the sense of the sentences; at page 9 line 17 "average time" is related to average from literature data? If yes, any reference? If it is related only to the single case here presented, why "average"? The patient hadn't any septic complication even the small bowel loop was not trophic, requiring a resection with anastomosis. This means a very good surgical management but also a good peroperative antibiotic treatment. It might be useful for the readers to know what treatment you used.