

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

ESPS manuscript NO: 15287

Title: Pyuria in patients with Kawasaki disease

Reviewer's code: 02583222

Reviewer's country: Switzerland

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-11-20 16:13

Date reviewed: 2014-12-10 23:22

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Manuscript "Pyuria in patients with Kawasaki disease" (by Watanabe T) In many children affected by Kawasaki disease urinalysis discloses some pyuria. The report by Watanabe analyzes the corresponding literature. The present version of the manuscript is not very fascinating at all because it is very un-concise. Specifically, the manuscript might well modified as follows: 1. Introduction. Address immediately the possible occurrence of pyuria in Kawasaki disease without any superfluous information on the mechanisms underlying this vasculitis syndrome such as T-cell activation or specific susceptibility genes. 2. Chapter "Prevalence of sterile pyuria in patients with Kawasaki disease" In this section of the manuscript Watanabe presents in a chronological order the articles reporting the frequency of pyuria in Kawasaki disease. In my opinion the section of the manuscript might well be presented very concisely. E.g.: The occurrence of pyura in Kawasaki disease was addressed by a total of A reports including a total of B patients. Pathological pyuria was found in C patients. Alternatively (and perhaps even better!) provide a corresponding table. 3. The concept of urethral, vesical or renal pyuria deserves explanation (by which technique do the authors differentiate these forms of pyuria). 4. The various causes of both sterile and non sterile pyuria



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

should be presented more systematically (perhaps using a further table). 5. Finally the authors should provide a flowchart suggesting the practical attitude in Kawasaki patients with pyuria. 6. The current length of the manuscript is approximately 1600 words. The final manuscript should be, in my opinion. 1200 words or less.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

ESPS manuscript NO: 15287

Title: Pyuria in patients with Kawasaki disease

Reviewer's code: 00646232

Reviewer's country: Egypt

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-11-20 16:13

Date reviewed: 2014-11-26 07:25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The needed changes are inserted in the manuscript for easy tracking.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

ESPS manuscript NO: 15287

Title: Pyuria in patients with Kawasaki disease

Reviewer's code: 00742209

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2014-11-20 16:13

Date reviewed: 2014-11-28 08:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript can be enhanced by addressing the following items: 1. clarify complete vs. incomplete KD as described in sections 1 and 2 in the manuscript 2. clarify the use of the term "specific" feature of KD at the end of the section 2 in the manuscript 3. avoid repeating "higher serum bun and creatinine, and urinary protein and B2MG" in section 4 regarding KD patients with pyuria in the manuscript because it is already mentioned in section 3. 4. typo high-power field in section 2 of the manuscript 5. clarify urinary tract abnormalities by specifying the example ureterovesicular reflux in the last paragraph of section 5 6. clarify "post UTI" work up as urologic or urinary tract assessment in the core tip 7. clarify whether the author means antibiotic prophylaxis in the following statement "whether antibiotics should be stopped if KD co-exists with a UTI" in the conclusion