

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5923

Title: COLORECTAL CANCER: FROM PREVENTION TO PERSONALIZED MEDICINE

Reviewer code: 02445552

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-10-08 09:51

Date reviewed: 2013-10-29 17:15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Major commentaries: -There is a disproportion between both prevention and treatment section, being the prevention section too developed compared with the treatment section. I think that the authors should consider adding a table of treatment that provide complementary data on this aspect. -The authors should report the methodology employed to selecting relevant articles. -More data on the population acceptance of the different screening methods would be provided. A recent study might be commented: Radiology 2012; jun, 263(3): 723-31. doi: 10.1148/radiol.12111523. Epub 2012 Mar 21) -I consider that key aspect of treatment should be referred in the Conclusion section. Minor commentaries: -The authors should consider add a Table with abbreviations used along the text. -In page 25, second paragraph there are spelling mistakes ("Ther have benn"). -The authors should consider add a minor explanation at foot of Figure 1 on the lines showed.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5923

Title: COLORECTAL CANCER: FROM PREVENTION TO PERSONALIZED MEDICINE

Reviewer code: 00208526

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-10-08 09:51

Date reviewed: 2013-11-19 02:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The focus of the review is on colorectal cancer and the author discusses the incidence and prevalence of the disease and also the significant strides that have been made in targeting these cancers in the clinic. A lot of emphasis is placed on the public health impact of the disease including the risk factors for developing the disease, which includes both primary and secondary for prevention and screening respectively. The advantages and drawbacks of the different preventive and screening strategies are extensively discussed in addition to other techniques that can help in diagnosis of the disease. The use of patient biological samples like faces and blood for RNA, DNA or hemoglobin extraction and analysis is also clearly explained and most importantly, the recommendations for using the different techniques in particular clinical settings will help clinicians better understand the importance of some of these tests. The second part of the review focuses on the treatment options for colorectal cancers and these options are also dependent on the stages of the disease. The review will be very educative not only to clinicians but also to the general population. It is well written, concise and easy to understand. No major issues are associated with the review. However, an inclusion of a section that outlines the future perspectives in the prevention, management and treatment of colorectal cancers will make the review very complete.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 5923

Title: COLORECTAL CANCER: FROM PREVENTION TO PERSONALIZED MEDICINE

Reviewer code: 02445426

Science editor: Gou, Su-Xin

Date sent for review: 2013-10-08 09:51

Date reviewed: 2013-11-26 00:55

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Binefa et al reviewed comprehensive recent topics of the colorectal cancer including epidemiology, pathogenesis, prevention, screening, biomarkers and treatment strategy according to the stage. This review covers not only widely-accepted topics recommended in the guidelines but also new evidences evaluated in the clinical trials. Although the review is very long and contains so many topics, quality is excellent.