ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[Y] Grade B: Very good	[ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[ ] The same title	[ ] High priority for publication
[ ] Grade C: Good	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	[ ] Duplicate publication	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	[ ] Plagiarism	[ ] Minor revision
[ ] Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	[ ] Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		[ ] The same title	
		[ ] Duplicate publication	
		[ ] Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Review:
Reporting and understanding the safety and adverse effect profile of mobile apps for psychosocial interventions – An update
(1) What are the new visions that the manuscript offers to readers?
The authors present considerations about Mobile apps for psychosocial interventions highlighting the potential adverse effects
(2) Are there any weaknesses or deficiencies in the manuscript?
The manuscript shows no important weaknesses to be considered for editorial material. Very easy to read.
(3) Can the experiences and lessons presented in the manuscript improve the readers' practice?
It invites reflection on the present implementation of mobile technology and internet use for psychosocial interventions
(4) Does the content of the manuscript have value for publication? If not, rejection should be

recommended.

Yes, it is. This update may be interesting for colleagues conducting psychosocial interventions

(5) Is the manuscript concise, clear, comprehensive, and convincing?

Yes, it is.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Psychiatry
ESPS manuscript NO: 24757
Title: Reporting and understanding the safety and adverse effect profile of mobile apps for psychosocial interventions: An update
Reviewer's code: 01488602
Reviewer's country: Romania
Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong
Date sent for review: 2016-02-14 11:29
Date reviewed: 2016-03-18 22:02

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[Y] Grade A: Excellent	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[ ] Grade B: Very good	[ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[ ] The same title	[ ] High priority for publication
[ ] Grade C: Good	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	[ ] Duplicate publication	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	[ ] Plagiarism	[ ] Minor revision
[ ] Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	[ ] Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		[ ] The same title	
		[ ] Duplicate publication	
		[ ] Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting opinion paper on a hot topic; well written for such editorial category

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[Y] Grade B: Very good	[Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[ ] The same title	[ ] High priority for publication
[ ] Grade C: Good	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	[ ] Duplicate publication	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	[ ] Plagiarism	[Y] Minor revision
[ ] Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	[ ] Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		[ ] The same title	
		[ ] Duplicate publication	
		[ ] Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

TITLE: Reporting and understanding the safety and adverse effect profile of mobile apps for psychosocial interventions – An update

This manuscript presents a brief review on the mobile apps for psychosocial interventions, highlighting the potential adverse effects regarding safety, security and privacy issues as well as risks and adverse effects due to therapy and apps and internet use issues. It is well written and easy to follow; however, some corrections can be made for a higher quality. Furthermore, given the title and main aim of the manuscript, I found that the authors should take advantage of the last paragraph, given that it is where the recommendations on how to register and report main features of mPSI apps in this arena are offered to the reader.
In general:
- Please, delete the wrong commas used (e.g., P1L2 ("devices, has led"), P1L15 ("as, Breathe"), P5L28 ("proposed a, framework")).

- Please, choose between "psychosocial", "psycho-social" or "psychosocial" throughout the manuscript.
- Please, add a space before cite mark (e.g., P2L3, P2L18, P5L14).
- Please, delete unnecessary spaces (e.g., P2L18).
- Please, decide whether using capitals or not in "Apps" throughout the manuscript.
- Please, be sure that you support your affirmations on previous evidence (e.g., P4L22-23 "Similarly, insomnia, depression and anxiety are common among heavy net users, according to the popular literature.";
P5L18-19 "Other possible adverse effects associated with the utilisation of mobile Apps are the increased chance of bacterial accumulation on devices which can have the potential to spread infection.";
P5L19-20: "It is also important that individuals feel no pressure in replying to the mPSI App notifications and alerts, as there may be a risk of increasing paranoia and anxiety.").

Specific comments:
Abstract: Please, reedit the sentence "There is no agreement on reporting development, testing and reporting mobile Psycho-social apps".
- P1L12: Please, reedit the sentence "for delivering the psychosocial intervention" as "for delivering psychosocial interventions".
- P1L17: Please, reedit the sentence "perceived risk benefit ratio" as "perceived risk-benefit ratio".
- P1L24: Please, reedit the sentence "can broadly be divided into two are (I)" (are?)
- P2L21: Please, consider to add a comma and a semicolon in the sentence as indicated: "To ensure protection mobile, devices should be accessible via a pin; it is recommended that rather than a four digit pin an alphanumeric pass code be used".
- P3L7: Please, review person in verb "suggests".
-P3L8: Please, review "While... while".
-P3L10: Please, consider to add "matrix" in "2-dimensional "app-space".
-P3L17: Please, consider to reedit the sentence "In a recent literature review (1) these authors..." as "In a recent literature review (1) it was..."
-P4L3: Please, consider to delete "the" in "Both the groups"
-P4L9-ff: Please, consider to add a comma or semicolon after each item.