

# ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Cardiology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 10080

**Title:** Management of erectile dysfunction in hypertension: tips and tricks

**Reviewer code:** 00505749

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2014-03-12 10:26

**Date reviewed:** 2014-03-19 02:12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

# COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

-introduction: sexual dysfunction is not a complication of hypertension, it's another symptom of the same condition -untreated hypertensive patients: vasculogenic erectile!!! dysfunction is the main and most important cause of ED and not the other way around that neurologic, etc. disorders should be ruled out first -management beyond PDE-5i: auto-injection therapy and vacuum device should also briefly be discussed -the paper by Banks in PLoS (2013) of Australia should be incorporated

**ESPS Peer-review Report**

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Cardiology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 10080

**Title:** Management of erectile dysfunction in hypertension: tips and tricks

**Reviewer code:** 00009616

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2014-03-12 10:26

**Date reviewed:** 2014-04-01 00:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

I do not find anything new in this article. It is simply a catalogue of the existing knowledge. What is that the authors want to convey: just a summary of the existing knowledge or wish to suggest anything new.

**ESPS Peer-review Report**
**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Cardiology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 10080

**Title:** Management of erectile dysfunction in hypertension: tips and tricks

**Reviewer code:** 00580233

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2014-03-12 10:26

**Date reviewed:** 2014-06-02 20:59

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Management of erectile dysfunction in hypertension: tips and tricks Comments: 1. The manuscript described the prevalence of erectile dysfunction is higher in patients with essential hypertension and even two times higher risk. What is the mechanism and pathophysiology? How about previous literature discussed? Please describe more detail. 2. The authors demonstrated initially dividing to two paragraphs: Untreated hypertensive patients and treated hypertensive patients. However, the content is mixed up. For example, the discussion in untreated hypertensive patients (means hypertensive patients without antihypertensive treatment) paragraph still illustrated about antihypertensive agents. It should be more precise. 3. In "Management beyond PDE-5 inhibitors" paragraph, the surgical implantation of a penile prosthesis is for general erectile dysfunction patients but did not discuss about erectile dysfunction in hypertension. Is there any previous literature discussed specifically? 4. What is the impact of PDE-5 inhibitors on cardiovascular effect? 5. Is the treatment of erectile dysfunction in hypertension is different from in normotensive patients? are there any important things the physicians must pay attention to during treatment? 6. The author should add a paragraph discussing about the alpha-blocker treatment for hypertension and LUTS as well as the potential benefit on erectile dysfunction. 7. The author should mention about the treatment options after PDE-5 inhibitor failed, like PGE1 penile injection, vacuum device... etc. then the penile prosthesis implantation.