

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Stem Cells

ESPS manuscript NO: 12892

Title: The roles of microRNA-140 in Stem Cell Associated Early Stage Breast Cancer

Reviewer code: 02446383

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-07-30 13:32

Date reviewed: 2014-08-15 11:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

As a review paper, some statements will need to have references, such as in “miR-140 in the DCIS to IDC transition” part. Authors need to better define “early stage breast cancer” (DCIS and/or IDC?). Both DCIS and IDC were discussed in the paper, but the conclusion was only related to DCIS. Authors also need to have more focused discussions on different subtypes of DCIS and IDC by reducing subtitles and adding some translational research results or thoughts to the paper. Reference #27 needs to be updated with the name of the Journal “Oncogene”. Overall, the paper needs to be refined.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Stem Cells

ESPS manuscript NO: 12892

Title: The roles of microRNA-140 in Stem Cell Associated Early Stage Breast Cancer

Reviewer code: 02445516

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-07-30 13:32

Date reviewed: 2014-08-25 21:43

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

No comments.