

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS manuscript NO: 14792

Title: Assessment of Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes: Risk Scores and Provocative Testing

Reviewer's code: 00742221

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-10-28 08:14

Date reviewed: 2014-11-19 23:22

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|--|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | PubMed Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

the review is very well written and complete minor concerns please explain what is the UKPS model. please quote the following articles for copletness: Early origins of adult disease: Low birth weight and vascular remodeling (Review) Visentin, S. Grumolato, F. Nardelli, G.B.a Di Camillo, B.Grisan, E Cosmi, E. Atherosclerosis Volume 237, Issue 2, December 01, 2014, Pages 391-399

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

ESPS manuscript NO: 14792

Title: Assessment of Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes: Risk Scores and Provocative Testing

Reviewer's code: 00723886

Reviewer's country: Afghanistan

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-10-28 08:14

Date reviewed: 2014-11-27 16:49

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|--|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | PubMed Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this publication authors give an overview of cardiovascular risk scores and provocative testing in patients with diabetes. They also suggest an improved algorithm for investigation of cardiovascular disease in those patients. The manuscript is well written. For better understanding, all abbreviations should be explained (in the text and in the tables). In the algorithm the authors do not mention assessment of peripheral pulses (although they do mention claudications). Maybe that should also be mentioned because it is of low cost and every doctor can perform it (just to stress it out, some of the colleagues forget to do it).