

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 55958

**Title:** First United Arab Emirates consensus on diagnosis and management of inflammatory bowel diseases: A 2020 Delphi consensus

**Reviewer's code:** 03658334

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Full Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Croatia

**Author's Country/Territory:** United Arab Emirates

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-04-16

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jia-Ping Yan

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-04-20 16:47

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-04-26 09:12

**Review time:** 5 Days and 16 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

To the Authors The consensus paper named „ First United Arab Emirates consensus on diagnosis and management of IBD: a Delphi Consensus, written by Maryam Alkhatry et al. is very useful in daily practice, considering the increasing number of IBD patients and increased therapeutic options. Great efforts have been done, and a huge number of relevant references have been cited. The main objection is that the paper is not written systematically enough. The Introduction chapter contains the method used in the paper; however, it is necessary to present a more detailed description of the methods used in a separate chapter. It would be practical to have an index of individual parts of work. Diagnostic recommendations are written within the clinical picture description. Diagnostic methods are not listed in the usual order: laboratory tests, endoscopy, histology, imaging... I propose to separate the lists of therapy by disease (Crohn's, ulcerative colitis), and by the activity of the disease (induction, remission). Furthermore, the list of therapy can start with conventional therapy, followed by biologics and small molecules. Statement 107. is not explained enough. Sometimes the results of drug concentration and antibodies require a new drug, out of class.

## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 55958

**Title:** First United Arab Emirates consensus on diagnosis and management of inflammatory bowel diseases: A 2020 Delphi consensus

**Reviewer's code:** 03658334

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Full Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Croatia

**Author's Country/Territory:** United Arab Emirates

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-04-16

**Reviewer chosen by:** Man Liu (Part-Time Editor)

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-06-30 12:37

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-07-01 19:43

**Review time:** 1 Day and 7 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper is now better structured and clearer. Some minor language polishing is necessary.