



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road, Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6670

Title: Rationale and limits of oncological follow-up after radical gastrectomy for cancer: results of an international web round table

Reviewer code: 00925786

Science editor: Ma, Ya-Juan

Date sent for review: 2013-10-27 13:14

Date reviewed: 2013-11-23 19:12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper can be published in this state.



ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6670

Title: Rationale and limits of oncological follow-up after radical gastrectomy for cancer: results of an international web round table

Reviewer code: 02572232

Science editor: Ma, Ya-Juan

Date sent for review: 2013-10-27 13:14

Date reviewed: 2013-12-09 21:11

Table with 4 columns: CLASSIFICATION, LANGUAGE EVALUATION, RECOMMENDATION, CONCLUSION. It lists various grades (A-E) and corresponding evaluation criteria, search results (Google/BPG), and final conclusions (Accept, High priority, Rejection, Minor revision, Major revision).

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This topic is interesting and controversial. 1.According to the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology, the follow up program after radical gastrectomy include: every 3-6 mo for 1-3 y, every 6 mo for 3-5 y, then annually; CBC and chemistry profile as indicated or endoscopy, as clinically indicated Monitor for vitamin B deficiency in surgically resected patients and treat as indicated. These proposals were recommended by most experts. 2.Though these current reports did not reveal a clear survival advantage for chemotherapy, most surgeons considered that combination chemotherapy resulted in better quality of life and overall survival for patients with advanced gastric cancer and recurrence. Further language polishing is needed.