

Format for ANSWERING REVIEWERS



August 25, 2012

Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in Word format (file name: 2429-review.doc).

Title: Mucocele of the appendix: An unusual cause of lower abdominal pain in a patient with ulcerative. A case report and review of the literature

Author: Peter Laszlo Lakatos, Gabriella Gyori, Judit Halasz, Peter Fuszek, Janos Papp, Balazs Jaray, Peter Lukovich, Laszlo Lakatos

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Ophthalmology*

ESPS Manuscript NO: 2429

The manuscript has been improved according to the suggestions of reviewers:

1 Format has been updated

2 Revision has been made according to the suggestions of the reviewer

Section	Reviewers comment	Action taken
INTRODUCTION	Para 1 : general on trauma but not directly to cataract, may not be needed	Removed
	Para 2 , Line 4 : ‘Therefore, the success rate may differ between eyes with these two types of cataract.’ Rather dogmatic, pls add reference.	corrected
EPIDEMIOLOGY AND INCIDENCE	Para 3 : Please elaborate on ‘It is important to be able to predict visual outcome during the post-treatment examination.’ and how that may be achieved	Done

	<p>2. Age: Younger people are more prone to ocular injuries. The age at intervention has a Significant effect, with a better visual outcome achieved in the early age group. [39,40]' – suggest adding comment as to why early age better outcomes Special consideration of traumatic cataract in the</p>	Done
	<p>paediatric age group: Good few of these considerations are NOT specific to traumatic cataract, but common to all paediatric cataracts</p>	Yes these comments are for children in general but these comments are important to elaborate details this section
	<p>P5: Para 1 to 3 : again, not specific to traumatic cataracts and may not be directly relevant to current topic of discussion on trauma Can the comments differentiate between open globe and blunt traumatic cataract and outcome please</p>	Yes these comments are for children in general but these comments are important to elaborate details this section
	<p>Line 5 – fool proof , rather than full proof ?</p>	corrected
	<p>12. Primary posterior capsulotomy: I am not sure of the relation to trauma on this paragraph P 9,</p>	As in refrence this finding was found after that author has done RCT study report is under publication right now as a positive predictor.
	<p>13. Timing of intervention : Para on ' Awareness of several details is necessary before embarking on primary or delayed cataract extraction with IOL implantation' – please add references P12 :</p>	Ref 36 which is specifically studied for timing of intervention published in European journal of ophthalmology
	<p>: 'A morphological assessment may be used to guide management. The age at intervention and laterality</p>	Refrence no 13 published in BMJO

	play important roles as predictors of visual outcome. ‘ – please support with references	
	The age at intervention and laterality play important roles as predictors of visual outcome. ‘ – please support with references Confidential comments to the editor:	40,49,21 inserted
Diagrams		Four for variety of morphology and one for BETTS distribution.

3 References and typesetting were corrected

Thank you again for publishing our manuscript in the *World Journal of Ophthalmology*.

Sincerely yours,

Mehul Shah
 Medical Director
 Drashti Netralaya
 Chakalia Road, Dahod-389151
 India
 E mail: omtrust@rediffmail.com