



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Orthopedics

**Manuscript NO:** 39214

**Title:** Robotic Exoskeletons: The Current Pros and Cons

**Reviewer's code:** 02710967

**Reviewer's country:** United States

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2018-04-03

**Date reviewed:** 2018-04-07

**Review time:** 4 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Good review. Please add some details for different types available, any information on the average cost per use, ideal candidate to use this technology; such as age, level of injury, BMI, male/female difference. Can you add some illustrations or figures to show how they are fitted and how they work.

**Answer:** We would like to thank the review for their time to review our work and to provide this excellent feedback. We would like to attract your attention that we have recently published a book chapter about the use of exoskeleton in rehabilitation "[Gorgey A, Sumrell R, Goetz L. Exoskeletal assisted rehabilitation after spinal cord injury. In: Atlas of Orthoses and Assistive Devices, 5e. Canada: ELSEVIER; 2018. P. 440-47.](#)" It is not the intention of this review to highlight or list different types of exoskeletons with illustration; otherwise will be repeating ourselves and distract the reader from the main focus of the current submission. The primary focus is to highlight the major limitations that preclude wide exoskeleton use in clinical settings.



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

#### INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

##### *Google Search:*

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

##### *BPG Search:*

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Orthopedics

**Manuscript NO:** 39214

**Title:** Robotic Exoskeletons: The Current Pros and Cons

**Reviewer's code:** 02444715

**Reviewer's country:** Egypt

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2018-05-25

**Date reviewed:** 2018-05-25

**Review time:** 3 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper : Robotic Exoskeletons: The Current Pros and Cons presents a well written comprehensive review I m not sure if the paper can be published as a letter to the editor rather than a review , because it included a lot of personal opinions rather than scientific research. The authors need to put figures of different types of exoskeletons available in the market (after approval from the manufacturer) to explain in more clear way the differences between different types

**Answer:** We would like to thank the review for their time to review our work and to provide this excellent feedback. The associate editor has chosen to publish the paper as mini-review. The information provided in the text was based on published studies as well as anecdotal clinical evidence from directly working with exoskeletons for the last 3 years.

We also would like to attract your attention that we have recently published a book chapter about the use of exoskeleton in rehabilitation "Gorgey A, Sumrell R, Goetz L. Exoskeletal assisted rehabilitation after spinal cord injury. In: Atlas of Orthoses and Assistive Devices, 5e. Canada: ELSEVIER; 2018. P. 440-47." It is not the intention of this review to highlight or list different types of exoskeletons with illustration; otherwise will be repeating ourselves and distract the reader from the main focus of the current submission. The primary focus is to highlight the major limitations that preclude wide exoskeleton use in clinical settings.

## INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

### *Google Search:*

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



# Baishideng Publishing Group

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

*BPG Search:*

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No