

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS manuscript NO: 10928

Title: Renal Parenchymal Disease in Hyperoxaluric States: Dietary Hyperoxaluria as a Potentially Preventable Cause of Chronic Kidney Disease

Reviewer code: 01704618

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-04-27 22:54

Date reviewed: 2014-05-09 23:36

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I enjoyed reading this review paper. It was well written and useful to readers. I have the following comments: 1. I recommend oxalate homeostasis be divided by role of the liver, intestine, and kidney. Each section should be separately discussed in addition to their major regulatory mechanisms. 2. I suggest more emphasis be made on renal handling of oxalate given the nature of the journal. 3. On Page 8, oxalate synthetic pathways have not been lucidly described. 4. Disease states may be described separately following description of normal physiology.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Nephrology

ESPS manuscript NO: 10928

Title: Renal Parenchymal Disease in Hyperoxaluric States: Dietary Hyperoxaluria as a Potentially Preventable Cause of Chronic Kidney Disease

Reviewer code: 00503187

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-04-27 22:54

Date reviewed: 2014-05-11 20:55

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review by Glew et al. is well-written and clear. I have just very minor comments. 1. On page 4 in the end of introduction, I would leave out the sentence '..., which is a major interest of this journal.' This would fit in the cover letter rather than in the review itself. 2. On pages 10 and 11, the SLCs are in a way 'introduced twice'. Maybe it would be possible to rewrite these parts to avoid the feeling of bringing in these molecular twice. 3. The Figure 2 legends mentions in its legend '(Sun et al., in preparation)' apparently indicating that the figures will be included in another publication as well. Is this going to be a problem? If the figures are different, maybe the '(Sun et al., in preparation)' could be in a different place to avoid this confusion. 4. Figure 3 is missing the scale bar.