

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 86574

Title: Research on the internet intelligent nursing model based on TRACK scale for asthma management of preschool children

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06143409

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Greece

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-07-06

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-07-10 08:10

Reviewer performed review: 2023-07-22 22:24

Review time: 12 Days and 14 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Despite tremendous advances in research into the mechanisms and treatment of asthma, the overall control rate in children remains low. The test for Respiratory and Asthma Control in Kids is the only tool in the world for assessing asthma control levels in children under five. This article mainly explores the effectiveness of the Internet intelligent nursing model based on the Respiratory and Asthma Control in Kids scale for asthma management in preschool children, aiming to provide a new method for clinical asthma management in children. The study is overall well designed and well performed. The results are interesting. Minor Comments: 1. The background in the abstract should be revised. This section should be the background of the research of this study, not a summary of the aim, methods, results, etc. 2. Methods section in the abstract is too long, please shorten it. 3. Results are well discussed. How about the limit of this study? This also should be discussed. 4. The references list should be edited and updated.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 86574

Title: Research on the internet intelligent nursing model based on TRACK scale for asthma management of preschool children

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06074565

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Specialist, Researcher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Canada

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-07-06

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-07-11 08:02

Reviewer performed review: 2023-07-23 22:14

Review time: 12 Days and 14 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this study, the authors found that the Internet intelligent nursing model can reduce the number of asthma attacks and emergency visits, improve lung function indicators and quality of life, and increase the asthma control rate. The study suggests that this nursing model is a significant and worthy approach for asthma management in preschool children. These findings are interesting. The manuscript is well written. After a minor editing, this manuscript can be accepted.

Reviewer #1:

Specific Comments to Authors: In this study, the authors found that the Internet intelligent nursing model can reduce the number of asthma attacks and emergency visits, improve lung function indicators and quality of life, and increase the asthma control rate. The study suggests that this nursing model is a significant and worthy approach for asthma management in preschool children. These findings are interesting. The manuscript is well written. After a minor editing, this manuscript can be accepted. Thank you for your suggestion. I have made minor modifications to the manuscript.

Reviewer #2:

Specific Comments to Authors: Despite tremendous advances in research into the mechanisms and treatment of asthma, the overall control rate in children remains low. The test for Respiratory and Asthma Control in Kids is the only tool in the world for



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

assessing asthma control levels in children under five. This article mainly explores the effectiveness of the Internet intelligent nursing model based on the Respiratory and Asthma Control in Kids scale for asthma management in preschool children, aiming to provide a new method for clinical asthma management in children. The study is overall well designed and well performed. The results are interesting. Minor Comments: 1. The background in the abstract should be revised. This section should be the background of the research of this study, not a summary of the aim, methods, results, etc. 2. Methods section in the abstract is too long, please shorten it. 3. Results are well discussed. How about the limit of this study? This also should be discussed. 4. The references list should be edited and updated.

1. Abstract Background: Revised to focus strictly on research context.
2. Abstract Methods: Shortened for clarity.
3. Study Limitations: Added and discussed in the paper.
4. References: Updated and edited for accuracy.