

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4568

Title: Post-Colonoscopy Appendicitis: a case report and review of the literature.

Reviewer code: 02512137

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-07-08 15:33

Date reviewed: 2013-07-16 01:07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

To Whom It May Be Concerned, I think that the article @Post-Colonoscopy Appendicitis: a case report and review of the literature@ is very interesting and my opinioin is to accept the article without changes.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4568

Title: Post-Colonoscopy Appendicitis: a case report and review of the literature.

Reviewer code: 00000245

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-07-08 15:33

Date reviewed: 2013-08-21 20:31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The authors alternate between the use of the term 'endoscopy' and 'colonoscopy' when referring to an endoscopic exam of the colon. I think the more accurate term is colonoscopy. 2. The manuscript would be strengthened by adding images of the colonoscopy (appendiceal orifice) and histology of the appendix (including appendicolith and evidence of appendicitis). 3. Some of the references are incompletely listed epub without volume or page numbers.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4568

Title: Post-Colonoscopy Appendicitis: a case report and review of the literature.

Reviewer code: 02445767

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-07-08 15:33

Date reviewed: 2013-08-28 11:32

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a nice manuscript on an interesting topic. The manuscript would be substantially improved if it were published as a systematic review. The authors only need to undertake a literature search of MEDLINE, EMBASE, PUBMED etc... to search for all reports on this topic. A methods section should be included in the manuscript following the PRISMA guidelines for systematic reviews. Any additional studies could be added to the table and included in the discussion.