

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: This is an interesting study of psychological status and prognostic factors of breast cancer patients. The study is overall well designed. Comments: 1. The manuscript should be edited for both format and English. 2. The introduction is too brief, please enlarge this section. 3. Please list the limit of the study. 4. Quality of images should be improved.

Reply: Thank you for your time reviewing our manuscript, we have revised the manuscript according to each suggestions:

1. We have edited the format and English writing.
2. We have enriched the content of the introduction.
3. The present study still had limitations. mostly pertaining to its retrospective design, including cohort selection, the impact of previous exposure to risk variables, treatment approaches, follow-up, reporting, complications, and genetic mutations. Also, the overall sample size is still small and the follow-up time is short, warranting a prospective study with a large sample size to further guide the diagnosis and treatment of OBC.

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: This study investigated the clinicopathological features, psychological status, and prognostic factors of patients with occult breast cancer. The study is well designed and performed. The findings are interesting and well discussed. After a minor editing of the manuscript, it can be accepted. Please edit the manuscript carefully, include some minor language polishing, and please take attention about some blanks between the words and punctuations, which are seems missing.

Reply: Thank you for your time reviewing our manuscript and your affirmation of the article, we have carefully checked and modified the language and layout mistakes and polished the English writing.