



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 43178

Title: Papillary Cystadenoma of the Parotid Gland: A Case Report and Literature Review

Reviewer’s code: 00731613

Reviewer’s country: India

Science editor: Ying Dou

Date sent for review: 2018-11-01

Date reviewed: 2018-11-06

Review time: 5 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript title mentions this presentation as a literature review. I suggest that in literature review the authors could include a tabulation of the papillary cystadenoma of major salivary glands reported in the literature for a better understanding of this rare



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

entity (age, sex, site, provisional diagnosis, treatment plan and follow up data). In discussion, it is advisable to include text related to the etiopathogenesis of this lesion. In case report, the duration of the lesion is mentioned as 5 days. Please clarify the duration. Additionally, the history on the initiating factor for the swelling, the growth of the swelling and aggravating and relieving factors needs to be mentioned. In case report, the authors mentioned that the patient was advised radiotherapy. Can the authors highlight the rationale behind this; since the lesion is a benign growth

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No