

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Experimental Medicine

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 20697

**Title:** Enzymatic antioxidant system in vascular inflammation and coronary artery disease

**Reviewer's code:** 00006850

**Reviewer's country:** United States

**Science editor:** Yue-Li Tian

**Date sent for review:** 2015-06-20 14:59

**Date reviewed:** 2015-07-18 01:55

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I reviewed the manuscript by Lubrano and Balzan entitled "Enzymatic antioxidant system in vascular inflammation and coronary artery disease". The manuscript is interesting and it is well written and can be published in the present form.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Experimental Medicine

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 20697

**Title:** Enzymatic antioxidant system in vascular inflammation and coronary artery disease

**Reviewer's code:** 03252939

**Reviewer's country:** Portugal

**Science editor:** Yue-Li Tian

**Date sent for review:** 2015-06-20 14:59

**Date reviewed:** 2015-07-06 08:48

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It's an old battle with no major clinical implications and no major news in the last years. This review does not add much to the field. It is supported mainly by literature with more than 5 years. Otherwise its easy to read and easy to understand the "big picture". It would be important to include the methods for literature research.