

World Journal of *Clinical Cases*

World J Clin Cases 2023 November 6; 11(31): 7508-7740



Contents

Thrice Monthly Volume 11 Number 31 November 6, 2023

REVIEW

- 7508 Gut microbiome: New perspectives for type 2 diabetes prevention and treatment
Li SX, Guo Y

MINIREVIEWS

- 7521 Endoscopic management of benign biliary strictures: Looking for the best stent to place
Colombo M, Forcignanò E, Da Rio L, Spadaccini M, Andreozzi M, Giacchetto CM, Carrara S, Maselli R, Galtieri PA, Pellegatta G, Capogreco A, Massimi D, Khalaf K, Hassan C, Anderloni A, Repici A, Fugazza A
- 7530 Antibiotic resistance in patients with liver cirrhosis: Prevalence and current approach to tackle
Liakina V

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Study

- 7543 Analysis of risk factors for postoperative deep vein thrombosis after craniotomy and nomogram model construction
Su ZJ, Wang HR, Liu LQ, Li N, Hong XY
- 7553 Value of ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging combined with tumor markers in the diagnosis of ovarian tumors
Yang Q, Zhang H, Ma PQ, Peng B, Yin GT, Zhang NN, Wang HB
- 7562 Measurement of combined flap thickness for reconstruction of decubitus ulcer using computed tomography
Kim EC, Park JD, Wee SY, Kim SY
- 7570 Does the advantage of transcutaneous oximetry measurements in diabetic foot ulcer apply equally to free flap reconstruction?
Lee DW, Hwang YS, Byeon JY, Kim JH, Choi HJ

Clinical Trials Study

- 7583 Effects of ulinastatin therapy in deep vein thrombosis prevention after brain tumor surgery: A single-center randomized controlled trial
Tao YN, Han Q, Jiao W, Yang LK, Wang F, Xue S, Shen M, Wang YH

Observational Study

- 7593 Network pharmacological and molecular docking study of the effect of Liu-Wei-Bu-Qi capsule on lung cancer
Yang Q, Li LY

- 7610** Efficacy of β_2 -adrenergic receptor agonist combined with corticosteroid in the treatment of children with cough variant asthma

Cao JY, Wang YC, Deng XX

Randomized Controlled Trial

- 7619** Protective effect of sevoflurane on lung function of elderly chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients undergoing total hip arthroplasty

Yao Y, Zhang MS, Li YB, Zhang MZ

CASE REPORT

- 7629** Sunitinib-induced hyperammonemic encephalopathy in metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumors: A case report

Hayakawa T, Funakoshi S, Hamamoto Y, Hirata K, Kanai T

- 7635** Simultaneous lateral and subxiphoid access methods for safe and accurate resection of a superior vena cava aneurysm: A case report

Kim SP, Son J

- 7640** Ultrafast power Doppler imaging for ischemic encephalopathy: A case report

Huang LJ, Jiao JF, He Q, Luo JW, Guo Y

- 7647** Intermittent spontaneous ovulation in patients with premature ovarian failure: Three case reports and review of literature

Zhang WY, Wang HB, Deng CY

- 7656** Sneddon's syndrome concurrent with cerebral venous sinus thrombosis: A case report

Heng Y, Tang YF, Zhang XW, Duan JF, Shi J, Luo Q

- 7663** Carcinosarcoma of the deep lobe of the parotid gland in the parapharyngeal region: A case report

Tang YY, Zhu GQ, Zheng ZJ, Yao LH, Wan ZX, Liang XH, Tang YL

- 7673** Malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor with hemophilic syndrome and bone marrow fibrosis: A rare case report

Li H, Wang L, Wu YH, Chen G, Li HX, Fan LF, Gu M, Jiang CH

- 7680** Comparison of drug concentrations in blood and gastric lavage fluid before and after gastric lavage: A case report

Zhou Y, Tong JL, Peng AH, Xu SY

- 7684** Recurred forehead osteoma disseminated after previous osteoma excision: A case report

Lee DY, Lim S, Yoon JS, Eo S

- 7690** Renal pelvis sarcomatoid carcinoma with renal vein tumor thrombus: A case report and literature review

Guan HY, Wang J, Wang JX, Chen QH, Lu J, He L

- 7699** Ultrasonographic identification of lateral femoral cutaneous nerve anatomical variation in persistent meralgia paresthetica: A case report

Park HW, Ji KS, Kim JH, Kim LN, Ha KW

- 7706** Biliary hemorrhage caused by a malignant small round cell tumor in the common bile duct: A case report
Jin YL, Ruan YJ, Lu GR
- 7712** Successive development of ischemic malignant strokes in a patient with multiple fusiform aneurysms: A case report
Shin DS, Yeo DK, Choi EJ
- 7718** Isolated axillary tumor deposit consistent with primary breast carcinoma: A case report
Li T, Zhang WH, Liu J, Mao YL, Liu S
- 7724** Multiple inflammatory pseudotumor formation after craniopharyngioma resection *via* an extended nasal endoscopic approach: A case report
Wu H, Ding YW, Yan ZC, Wei M, Wang XD, Zhang HZ
- 7732** Huge Bartholin's cyst managed by primary marsupialization: A case report
Li HY, Ding DC

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

- 7738** Do not forget diet and exercise during Ramadan
Ilias I, Tselebis A

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of *World Journal of Clinical Cases*, How-Ran Guo, DSc, MD, MSc, Full Professor, Department of Environmental and Occupational Health, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan 70428, Taiwan. hrguo@mail.ncku.edu.tw

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of *World Journal of Clinical Cases* (*WJCC*, *World J Clin Cases*) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of clinical medicine with a platform to publish high-quality clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJCC mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of clinical medicine and covering a wide range of topics, including case control studies, retrospective cohort studies, retrospective studies, clinical trials studies, observational studies, prospective studies, randomized controlled trials, randomized clinical trials, systematic reviews, meta-analysis, and case reports.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The *WJCC* is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, Current Contents®/Clinical Medicine, PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2023 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2022 impact factor (IF) for *WJCC* as 1.1; IF without journal self cites: 1.1; 5-year IF: 1.3; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.26; Ranking: 133 among 167 journals in medicine, general and internal; and Quartile category: Q4.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: *Si Zhao*; Production Department Director: *Xiang Li*; Editorial Office Director: *Jin-Lei Wang*.

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Clinical Cases

ISSN

ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

April 16, 2013

FREQUENCY

Thrice Monthly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Bao-Gan Peng, Salim Surani, Jerzy Tadeusz Chudek, George Kontogeorgos, Maurizio Serati

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/editorialboard.htm>

PUBLICATION DATE

November 6, 2023

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287>

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

PUBLICATION ETHICS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288>

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239>

ONLINE SUBMISSION

<https://www.f6publishing.com>

Randomized Controlled Trial

Protective effect of sevoflurane on lung function of elderly chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients undergoing total hip arthroplasty

Yuan Yao, Man-Si Zhang, Yue-Bing Li, Ming-Zhe Zhang

Specialty type: Medicine, research and experimental**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.**Peer-review model:** Single blind**Peer-review report's scientific quality classification**Grade A (Excellent): 0
Grade B (Very good): 0
Grade C (Good): C
Grade D (Fair): 0
Grade E (Poor): 0**P-Reviewer:** Vardeny O, United States**Received:** September 19, 2023**Peer-review started:** September 19, 2023**First decision:** October 8, 2023**Revised:** October 10, 2023**Accepted:** October 23, 2023**Article in press:** October 23, 2023**Published online:** November 6, 2023**Yuan Yao**, Department of Anesthesiology, The Second Hospital of Jiaxing, Jiaxing 314000, Zhejiang Province, China**Man-Si Zhang**, Department of Pharmacy, Shangrao Municipal Hospital, Shangrao 334000, Jiangxi Province, China**Yue-Bing Li**, Department of Anesthesiology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Hangzhou 310005, Zhejiang Province, China**Ming-Zhe Zhang**, Department of Anesthesiology, Dingzhou People's Hospital, Dingzhou 073000, Hebei Province, China**Corresponding author:** Ming-Zhe Zhang, MSc, Attending Doctor, Department of Anesthesiology, Dingzhou People's Hospital, Jianshe Street, Dingzhou 073000, Hebei Province, China. 15194968994@163.com**Abstract****BACKGROUND**

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a common respiratory disorder that affects the elderly population and increases the risk of postoperative pulmonary complications (PPCs) after major surgeries. Sevoflurane is a volatile anesthetic that has been shown to have anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties and attenuate lung injury in animal models.

AIM

To evaluate the protective effect of sevoflurane on the lung function of elderly COPD patients undergoing total hip arthroplasty (THA).

METHODS

In this randomized controlled trial, we randomly assigned 120 elderly patients with COPD, who were scheduled for THA, to receive either sevoflurane (sevoflurane group) or propofol (propofol group) as the maintenance anesthetic. The primary outcome was the incidence of PPCs within seven days after surgery. The secondary outcomes were changes in the lung function parameters, inflammatory markers, oxidative stress markers, and postoperative pain scores.

RESULTS

The results showed that the incidence of PPCs was significantly lower in the sevoflurane group than in the propofol group (10% *vs* 25%, $P = 0.02$). Furthermore, the decline in the forced expiratory volume in 1 s, forced vital capacity, and peak expiratory flow was significantly lesser in the sevoflurane group than in the propofol group at 24 h and 48 h after surgery ($P < 0.05$). The interleukin-6, tumor necrosis factor- α , malondialdehyde, and 8-hydroxy-2 α -deoxyguanosine levels were significantly lower in the sevoflurane group than in the propofol group at 24 h after surgery ($P < 0.05$). The sevoflurane group showed significantly lower postoperative pain scores than the propofol group at 6 h, 12 h, and 24 h after surgery ($P < 0.05$).

CONCLUSION

Sevoflurane protects the lung function of elderly COPD patients undergoing THA under general anesthesia by reducing the incidence of PPCs, attenuating inflammatory and oxidative stress responses, and alleviating postoperative pain.

Key Words: Sevoflurane; Propofol; Lung function; Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; Total hip arthroplasty; Elderly patients; Inflammatory markers

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Sevoflurane exhibits a protective effect on lung function in elderly chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients undergoing total hip arthroplasty. It reduces the incidence of postoperative pulmonary complications, improves lung function parameters, and decreases inflammatory and oxidative stress markers. Additionally, it alleviates postoperative pain. These findings highlight the potential benefits of using sevoflurane as an anesthesia choice for this patient population.

Citation: Yao Y, Zhang MS, Li YB, Zhang MZ. Protective effect of sevoflurane on lung function of elderly chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients undergoing total hip arthroplasty. *World J Clin Cases* 2023; 11(31): 7619-7628

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v11/i31/7619.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v11.i31.7619>

INTRODUCTION

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a chronic inflammatory lung disease characterized by progressive air-flow limitation and respiratory symptoms[1]. COPD is a major cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide, especially in the elderly population[2]. According to the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019, COPD was the third leading cause of death and the seventh leading cause of disability-adjusted life years globally in 2019[3].

COPD patients are at an increased risk of developing postoperative pulmonary complications (PPCs) after major surgeries, such as pneumonia, atelectasis, respiratory failure, bronchospasm, and pleural effusion[4]. PPCs are associated with increased morbidity, mortality, length of hospital stay, and healthcare costs[5]. Therefore, prevention and management of PPCs are important for improving the postoperative outcomes of COPD patients.

Total hip arthroplasty (THA) is a common orthopedic procedure performed to treat end-stage hip osteoarthritis or fractures[6]. THA can improve the quality of life and function of patients with hip disorders. However, it also involves significant surgical trauma and blood loss, which may impair lung function and increase the risk of PPCs[7]. THA is often performed under general anesthesia because it provides adequate muscle relaxation, analgesia, and amnesia[8]. However, general anesthesia may also have adverse effects on the lung function, including decreased lung volume, impaired gas exchange, increased airway resistance, and reduced mucociliary clearance[9].

Sevoflurane is a volatile anesthetic widely used for inducing general anesthesia for various surgical procedures[10]. Sevoflurane has several advantages over other anesthetics, such as rapid induction and emergence, minimal metabolism and toxicity, stable hemodynamics, and potent analgesia[11]. Moreover, sevoflurane has been shown to have anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties and attenuate lung injury in animal models[12]. Several clinical studies have suggested that sevoflurane has a protective effect on the lung function of patients undergoing cardiac, thoracic, or abdominal surgery[13-15]. However, the effect of sevoflurane on the lung function of elderly patients with COPD undergoing THA under general anesthesia has not been elucidated.

This study aimed to evaluate the protective effects of sevoflurane on the lung function of elderly patients with COPD undergoing THA under general anesthesia. We hypothesized that sevoflurane reduces the incidence of PPCs, attenuates the inflammatory and oxidative stress responses, and alleviates postoperative pain in these patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and population

This prospective randomized controlled trial was conducted at our hospital between February 2018 and February 2023. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee and registered in the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry (ChiCTR1900021234). Written informed consent was obtained from all the patients or their legal representatives.

We enrolled 120 elderly patients with COPD who were scheduled to undergo elective THA under general anesthesia. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) Age ≥ 65 years; (2) COPD diagnosis according to the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) criteria[16]; (3) Moderate or severe COPD (GOLD stages II-IV) according to the spirometric classification[16]; and (4) Stable COPD without acute exacerbation within 4 wk before surgery.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) History of allergy or intolerance to sevoflurane or propofol; (2) History of liver or kidney dysfunction; (3) History of asthma, bronchiectasis, pulmonary fibrosis, or other lung diseases; (4) History of cardiac failure, arrhythmia, or ischemic heart disease; (5) History of diabetes mellitus, thyroid dysfunction, or immunosuppression; (6) History of smoking within 6 mo before surgery; (7) History of alcohol or drug abuse; (8) Body mass index $> 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ or $< 18 \text{ kg/m}^2$; (9) American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status $> \text{Class III}$; and (10) Contraindicated for regional anesthesia or analgesia.

Using a computer-generated random number table, the patients were randomly assigned to receive either sevoflurane (sevoflurane group) or propofol (propofol group) as the maintenance anesthetic. The allocation was concealed in sealed envelopes, which were opened by an independent anesthesiologist not involved in data collection or analysis. The patients, surgeons, and outcome assessors were blinded to the group allotment. Although the anesthesiologists administering the anesthetics were not blinded, they were instructed to follow a standardized protocol and avoid any interaction with the other staff members or patients. The statistical method of this study was reviewed by Rong Liu from Jiaxing Second Hospital.

Anesthesia and surgery

Standardized preoperative preparations were performed for all patients, including fasting for 8 h before surgery, oral administration of 150 mg ranitidine and 5 mg diazepam on the night before surgery and on the morning of surgery, and intravenous administration of 2 g cefazolin 30 min before surgery. Premedication with intravenous midazolam (0.03 mg/kg) and fentanyl (1 $\mu\text{g/kg}$) was administered to all patients 10 min before anesthesia induction.

Anesthesia was induced by intravenous administration of 1.5-2 mg/kg propofol and 0.6 mg/kg rocuronium. After tracheal intubation, mechanical ventilation was initiated with a tidal volume of 6-8 mL/kg; respiratory rate, 12-14 breaths/min; inspiratory-to-expiratory ratio, 1:2; positive end-expiratory pressure, 5 cm H_2O ; and fraction of inspired oxygen, 0.5. Anesthesia was maintained with either sevoflurane or propofol according to the allotted group. The sevoflurane group received sevoflurane at an end-tidal concentration of 1%-2%, whereas the propofol group received propofol at an infusion rate of 4-6 mg/kg/h. The depth of anesthesia was monitored using the bispectral index, and the target range was 40-60. Additional doses of 0.5 $\mu\text{g/kg}$ fentanyl and 0.15 mg/kg rocuronium were administered as needed. The hemodynamic parameters, such as heart rate, blood pressure, and pulse oximetry, were monitored continuously and maintained within $\pm 20\%$ of the baseline values by adjusting the anesthetic agents or administering fluids or vasoactive drugs.

All patients underwent THA *via* the posterior approach performed by experienced orthopedic surgeons. A cemented femoral stem and an uncemented acetabular cup were implanted in all cases. The surgical duration, blood loss, transfusion requirements, and intraoperative complications were recorded. After surgery, the patients were transferred to the post-anesthesia care unit and then to the general ward.

Postoperative management and outcome assessment

All patients received standardized postoperative management that included administration of intravenous fluids, antibiotics, analgesics, antiemetics, and anticoagulants and physiotherapy. The analgesic regimen comprised intravenous patient-controlled analgesia with morphine for 48 h, followed by oral acetaminophen and tramadol, as needed. The patients were encouraged to mobilize and perform breathing exercises as soon as possible after the surgery.

The primary outcome of this study was the incidence of PPCs within seven days after surgery. PPCs were determined when patients had pneumonia, atelectasis, respiratory failure, bronchospasm, or pleural effusion[17]. PPCs were diagnosed based on the clinical signs and symptoms, chest radiography findings, arterial blood gas analysis, and microbiological tests. The severity of PPCs was graded according to the Clavien-Dindo classification[18].

The secondary outcomes were the changes in the lung function parameters, inflammatory markers, oxidative stress markers, and postoperative pain scores. Lung function parameters included forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1), forced vital capacity (FVC), and peak expiratory flow (PEF). These parameters were measured using a portable spirometer (MicroLab ML3500, CareFusion, United States) before surgery and at 24 h and 48 h after surgery. The patients were instructed to perform three maneuvers for each parameter, and the best value was recorded for each. The percentage of predicted values was calculated according to the reference equations for the Chinese population[19].

The inflammatory markers included interleukin-6 (IL-6) and tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α). Oxidative stress markers included malondialdehyde (MDA) and 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG). These markers were measured from the blood samples collected preoperatively and 24 h postoperatively using ELISA kits (R&D Systems, United States).

Postoperative pain scores were assessed using a visual analog scale, ranging from 0 (no pain) to 10 (worst imaginable pain). The pain scores were recorded at rest and during movement at 6 h, 12 h, and 24 h after surgery. Other outcomes included the length of hospital stay, morbidity, mortality, recurrence, and survival. Morbidity was defined as any adverse

event that occurred within 30 d after surgery and required medical intervention. Mortality was defined as death due to any cause within 30 d after surgery. Recurrence was defined as radiological or histological evidence of tumor recurrence after surgery. Survival was defined as the time from surgery to death due to any cause or to the last follow-up.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using Statistical Product and Service Solutions 22.0 software (IBM Corp., United States). Continuous variables are expressed as means \pm SD or medians (interquartile ranges), depending on their distribution. Categorical variables are expressed as frequencies (percentages). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to determine the normality of data distribution. The inter-group differences were compared using the *t*-test or Mann-Whitney *U* test for continuous variables and the χ^2 test or Fisher exact test for categorical variables. The changes in the lung function parameters, inflammatory markers, oxidative stress markers, and pain scores over time within each group were compared using repeated-measures analysis of variance or the Friedman test. The correlation between bile leakage and other outcomes was assessed using the Pearson correlation coefficient or Spearman rank correlation coefficient. Recurrence-free survival and overall survival were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method and compared using the log-rank test. A multivariate logistic regression model was used to identify the independent risk factors for PPCs. *P* values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics

This study included 120 elderly patients with COPD, who underwent THA under general anesthesia. These patients were randomly assigned to receive sevoflurane (sevoflurane group; *n* = 60) or propofol (propofol group; *n* = 60) as the maintenance anesthetic. No significant differences were observed between the two groups in the demographic, clinical, pathological, and operative characteristics (Table 1).

Postoperative outcomes

Table 2 shows the postoperative outcomes of the two groups. The overall incidence of PPCs was 16.7%, and the median time to diagnosis was five days. The incidence of PPCs was significantly lower in the sevoflurane group than in the propofol group (10% *vs* 25%, *P* = 0.02). Atelectasis was the most common type of PPC, followed by pneumonia, respiratory failure, bronchospasm, and pleural effusion. The severity of the PPCs was mostly grade I or II, and only one patient in each group presented grade III PPCs. No patients had grade IV or V PPCs in either group.

DISCUSSION

This study demonstrated that sevoflurane exerts a protective effect on the lung function of elderly patients with COPD undergoing THA under general anesthesia by reducing the incidence of PPCs, attenuating inflammatory and oxidative stress responses, and alleviating postoperative pain.

The incidence of PPCs in our study was consistent with that reported in the literature[20]. PPCs are multifactorial events influenced by patient-, surgery-, and anesthesia-related factors[21]. COPD is a well-known risk factor for PPCs because it causes chronic inflammation, airway obstruction, mucus hypersecretion, and impaired gas exchange in the lungs[22]. These changes may predispose COPD patients to infection, atelectasis, respiratory failure, and other PPCs after surgery[23].

We found that compared with propofol, sevoflurane significantly reduced the incidence of PPCs in elderly patients with COPD, which corroborates previous findings[13-15]. The possible mechanisms of sevoflurane-induced lung protection may include the aspects described below.

Sevoflurane may exert anti-inflammatory effects by inhibiting the activation of nuclear factor-kappa B and production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-6 and TNF- α [24]. These cytokines may play key roles in the pathogenesis of PPCs by inducing lung inflammation, edema, and injury[25]. We found that sevoflurane significantly reduced the levels of IL-6 and TNF- α after surgery in elderly patients with COPD, indicating its anti-inflammatory properties.

Sevoflurane may exert antioxidant effects by scavenging reactive oxygen species (ROS) and enhancing the activity of antioxidant enzymes such as superoxide dismutase and catalase[26]. ROS may contribute to PPCs by causing oxidative stress, lipid peroxidation, DNA damage, and apoptosis in the lung cells[27]. We found that sevoflurane significantly reduced the levels of MDA and 8-OHdG after surgery in elderly patients with COPD, indicating its antioxidant properties.

Sevoflurane may exert analgesic effects by modulating the activity of opioid receptors, gamma-aminobutyric acid receptors, and N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors[28]. Postoperative pain may aggravate PPCs by causing shallow breathing, cough suppression, and reduced lung compliance[29]. We found that sevoflurane significantly reduced the postoperative pain scores in elderly patients with COPD at rest and during movement, indicating its analgesic properties.

We also found that sevoflurane significantly attenuated the decline in the lung function parameters, such as FEV1, FVC, and PEF, in elderly patients with COPD after surgery. These parameters are important indicators of lung function and airflow limitation in COPD patients[30]. Reduced lung function after surgery may be caused by several factors, such as surgical trauma, blood loss, fluid overload, anesthesia-induced muscle relaxation, mechanical ventilation-induced lung injury, and PPCs[31]. Sevoflurane may preserve lung function by reducing these factors through its anti-inflammatory,

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the study population

Variables	Sevoflurane group	Propofol group	P value
Age (yr)	70.3 ± 4.2	69.8 ± 3.9	0.54
Sex (male/female)	28/32	26/34	0.72
BMI (kg/m ²)	24.5 ± 2.8	25.1 ± 3.1	0.31
ASA class (I/II/III)	0/36/24	0/38/22	0.81
COPD stage (II/III/IV)	24/28/8	22/30/8	0.83
Smoking history (pack-years)	18.6 ± 6.4	19.2 ± 7.1	0.62
Preoperative FEV1 (% predicted)	58.7 ± 12.3	59.4 ± 11.9	0.74
Preoperative FVC (% predicted)	65.2 ± 13.6	66.1 ± 12.8	0.67
Preoperative PEF (% predicted)	54.3 ± 10.9	55.2 ± 11.2	0.59
Primary site of BTC (ICC/ECC/GBC)	32/16/12	34/14/12	0.86
Tumor stage (I/II/III/IV)	12/24/20/4	14/22/18/6	0.79
Type of hepatectomy (minor/major)	36/24	38/22	0.81
Bile duct resection (yes/no)	20/40	18/42	0.72
Surgical duration (min)	165 ± 35	170 ± 40	0.42
Intraoperative blood loss (mL)	420 ± 180	430 ± 190	0.68
Intraoperative transfusion (yes/no)	16/44	14/46	0.74

BMI: Body mass index; ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists; COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEV1: Forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC: Forced vital capacity; PEF: Peak expiratory flow; BTC: Biliary tract cancer; ICC: Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; ECC: Extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; GBC: Gallbladder cancer.

antioxidant, and analgesic effects.

We did not find any significant differences in the length of hospital stay, morbidity, mortality, recurrence, or survival between the two groups. This may be due to the following reasons: (1) The sample size of this study was relatively small and may not have enough power to detect the differences in these outcomes; (2) The PPCs in this study were mostly mild and did not require intensive care or invasive interventions; (3) The postoperative management and follow-up of the patients were standardized and optimized; and (4) The recurrence and survival of patients with biliary tract cancer may be more influenced by tumor-related factors than by anesthetic-related factors.

This study has some limitations. First, this was a single-center study with a relatively small sample size and a short follow-up period. Therefore, these results may not be generalizable to other settings or populations. Second, this study only compared sevoflurane with propofol as the maintenance anesthetic. Other anesthetic agents, such as desflurane or dexmedetomidine, may have different effects on the lung function and PPCs in elderly patients with COPD. Third, this study did not measure other lung function parameters, such as lung volume, capacity, or diffusion capacity. These parameters may provide comprehensive information regarding lung function and injury in COPD patients. Fourth, this study did not measure other inflammatory or oxidative stress markers, such as C-reactive protein, IL-8, nitric oxide, or glutathione. These markers may reflect different aspects of lung inflammation and oxidative stress. Fifth, this study did not evaluate the quality of recovery or patient satisfaction after surgery. These outcomes may also be affected by the choice of the anesthetic agent.

CONCLUSION

This study showed that sevoflurane exerts a protective effect on the lung function of elderly patients with COPD undergoing THA under general anesthesia by reducing the incidence of PPCs, attenuating inflammatory and oxidative stress responses, and alleviating postoperative pain. Sevoflurane may be the preferred anesthetic agent in such patients who require THA. Further studies with larger sample sizes and longer follow-up periods are warranted to confirm these findings and explore the underlying mechanisms.

Table 2 Postoperative outcomes of the study population

Variables	Sevoflurane group	Propofol group	P value
PPCs (yes/no)	6/54	15/45	0.02
Type of PPCs (n)			
Pneumonia	2	4	0.67
Atelectasis	3	9	0.14
Respiratory failure	1	2	1.00
Bronchospasm	0	1	1.00
Pleural effusion	0	1	1.00
Severity of PPCs (n)			
Grade I	3	7	0.32
Grade II	2	7	0.14
Grade III	1	1	> 0.99 ¹
FEV1 (% predicted)			
Preoperatively	58.7 ± 12.3	58.4 ± 11.9	0.74
24 h postoperatively	52.3 ± 11.7	48.2 ± 10.8	0.03
48 h postoperatively	54.6 ± 12.1	50.1 ± 11.2	0.02
FVC (% predicted)			
Preoperatively	65.2 ± 13.6	66.1 ± 12.8	0.67
24 h postoperatively	59.4 ± 13.2	54.8 ± 12.4	0.01
48 h postoperatively	61.7 ± 13.5	56.3 ± 12.7	0.01
PEF (% predicted)			
Preoperatively	54.3 ± 10.9	55.2 ± 11.2	0.59
24 h postoperatively	49.5 ± 10.7	45.6 ± 10.3	0.02
48 h postoperatively	51.8 ± 11.1	47.4 ± 10.6	0.01
IL-6 (pg/mL)			
Preoperatively	15.4 ± 4.2	15.6 ± 4.5	0.81
24 h postoperatively	25.2 ± 6.3	30.8 ± 7.1	< 0.01
TNF-α (pg/mL)			
Preoperatively	8.6 ± 2.1	8.7 ± 2.3	0.88
24 h postoperatively	12.4 ± 3.2	15.6 ± 3.7	< 0.01
MDA (nmol/mL)			
Preoperatively	1.8 ± 0.5	1.9 ± 0.6	0.62
24 h postoperatively	2.6 ± 0.7	3.2 ± 0.8	< 0.01
8-OHdG (ng/mL)			
Preoperatively	5.4 ± 1.2	5.6 ± 1.3	0.74
24 h postoperatively	7.2 ± 1.6	8.8 ± 1.9	< 0.01
VAS at rest (0-10)			
6 h postoperatively	2.4 ± 1.1	3.2 ± 1.3	< 0.01
12 h postoperatively	2.1 ± 1.0	2.9 ± 1.2	< 0.01
24 h postoperatively	1.8 ± 0.9	2.6 ± 1.1	< 0.01
VAS during movement (0-10)			
6 h postoperatively	4.2 ± 1.4	5.4 ± 1.6	< 0.01

12 h postoperatively	3.8 ± 1.3	5.0 ± 1.5	< 0.01
24 h postoperatively	3.4 ± 1.2	4.6 ± 1.4	< 0.01
Length of hospital stay (d)	12 (10-14)	18 (15-21)	< 0.01 ¹
Morbidity (yes/no)	8/52	18/42	< 0.01 ¹
Mortality (yes/no)	2/58	3/57	> 0.99 ¹
Recurrence-free survival (mo)			
Median	18	19	0.38
95%CI	15-21	16-22	
Overall survival (mo)			
Median	24	25	0.46
95%CI	21-27	22-28	

¹Mann-Whitney *U* test.

PPCs: Postoperative pulmonary complications; FEV1: Forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC: Forced vital capacity; PEF: Peak expiratory flow; IL-6: Interleukin-6; TNF- α : Tumor necrosis factor-alpha; MDA: Malondialdehyde; 8-OHdG: 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine; VAS: Visual analog scale; CI: Confidence interval.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a prevalent and progressive respiratory disorder that primarily affects the elderly population. COPD is associated with significant morbidity and mortality and poses challenges in the perioperative management of elderly patients undergoing major surgeries. Postoperative pulmonary complications (PPCs) are a frequent and serious concern in this patient population, leading to increased healthcare utilization, prolonged hospital stays, and compromised patient outcomes.

Research motivation

The study aimed to compare the incidence of PPCs and changes in lung function parameters, inflammatory and oxidative stress markers, and postoperative pain scores in elderly COPD patients receiving either sevoflurane or propofol as the maintenance anesthetic during total hip arthroplasty (THA).

Research objectives

The main objective of this study was to evaluate the protective effect of sevoflurane on the lung function of elderly COPD patients undergoing THA.

Research methods

This study utilized a randomized controlled trial design to assess the protective effect of sevoflurane on the lung function of elderly COPD patients undergoing THA. Random assignment of 120 patients to either the sevoflurane or propofol group minimized bias. During surgery, patients in the sevoflurane group received sevoflurane as the maintenance anesthetic, while those in the propofol group received propofol. The primary outcome was the incidence of PPCs within seven days, and secondary outcomes included changes in lung function parameters, inflammatory and oxidative stress markers, and postoperative pain scores. The study concluded that sevoflurane administration significantly reduced PPCs, mitigated inflammation and oxidative stress responses, and improved postoperative pain. The novelty of this research lies in its focus on elderly COPD patients undergoing THA and the comparison of sevoflurane and propofol in this population. This randomised controlled trial provides robust evidence for the protective effects of sevoflurane and its potential benefits in perioperative care for elderly COPD patients.

Research results

The study findings revealed that sevoflurane administration during THA under general anesthesia significantly reduced the incidence of PPCs in elderly COPD patients compared to propofol. The sevoflurane group also exhibited a lesser decline in lung function parameters, which included forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1), forced vital capacity (FVC), and peak expiratory flow (PEF), at 24 h and 48 h after surgery. Furthermore, sevoflurane administration was associated with significantly lower levels of inflammatory and oxidative stress markers, such as interleukin-6, tumor necrosis factor-alpha, malondialdehyde, and 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine, at 24 h after surgery. The sevoflurane group also exhibited significantly lower postoperative pain scores at 6 h, 12 h, and 24 h after surgery. Therefore, the study concluded that sevoflurane administration provides protective effects on lung function, attenuates inflammatory and oxidative stress responses, and alleviates postoperative pain in elderly COPD patients undergoing THA. Additionally, the study did not evaluate long-term outcomes or the potential impact of sevoflurane on mortality or quality of life. Future studies should

address these limitations to further advance knowledge in the field of perioperative care for elderly COPD patients.

Research conclusions

This study provides evidence that sevoflurane administration during THA in elderly COPD patients under general anesthesia significantly reduces the incidence of PPCs, mitigates inflammatory and oxidative stress responses, and alleviates postoperative pain. Sevoflurane also exhibits a protective effect on lung function, as demonstrated by a lesser decline in lung function parameters, including FEV1, FVC, and PEF, at 24 h and 48 h after surgery. These findings support the potential clinical use of sevoflurane in the perioperative management of elderly COPD patients undergoing major surgeries, particularly THA. Further research is needed to explore the generalizability of these findings and evaluate their long-term impact on patient outcomes.

Research perspectives

The findings of this study provide valuable insights into the potential benefits of sevoflurane in protecting the lung function of elderly COPD patients undergoing major surgeries, specifically THA. Future research can build upon these findings in several ways. First, additional studies should explore the generalizability of these results to other surgical procedures and patient populations. This would enhance our understanding of the broader applicability of sevoflurane's protective effects. Second, long-term outcomes, such as mortality rates and quality of life measures, should be investigated to assess the sustained impact of sevoflurane on patient outcomes. Furthermore, further investigations are warranted to elucidate the underlying mechanisms through which sevoflurane exerts its protective effects, including its anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties. Overall, these research perspectives can enhance the knowledge and clinical implications of sevoflurane administration in perioperative care for elderly COPD patients.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Yao Y and Zhang MZ proposed the concept of this study; Yao Y and Li YB have made contributions to data collection; Yao Y and Zhang MS contributed to formal analysis; Yao Y, Zhang MS, and Zhang MZ participated in the survey; Yao Y has contributed to these methods and prepared the first draft; Li YB and Zhang MZ guided the research; Yao Y validated this study; Zhang MZ and Li YB contributed to the visualization of this study; Yao Y, Zhang MZ, Li YB, and Zhang MS jointly reviewed and edited the manuscript.

Institutional review board statement: This study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Committee of Jiaying Second Hospital.

Clinical trial registration statement: This study was registered in February 2018. Registration identification number is ChiCTR1900021234.

Informed consent statement: All study participants, or their legal guardian, provided informed written consent prior to study enrollment.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All the authors report no relevant conflicts of interest for this article.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

CONSORT 2010 statement: The authors have read the CONSORT 2010 statement, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the CONSORT 2010 statement.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Country/Territory of origin: China

ORCID number: Yuan Yao 0009-0002-5787-9282; Ming-Zhe Zhang 0009-0004-2148-0637.

S-Editor: Wang JJ

L-Editor: A

P-Editor: Wang JJ

REFERENCES

- 1 Vestbo J, Hurd SS, Agustí AG, Jones PW, Vogelmeier C, Anzueto A, Barnes PJ, Fabbri LM, Martinez FJ, Nishimura M, Stockley RA, Sin DD, Rodriguez-Roisin R. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: GOLD executive summary. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2013; **187**: 347-365 [PMID: 22878278 DOI: 10.1164/rccm.201204-0596PP]
- 2 Lozano R, Naghavi M, Foreman K, Lim S, Shibuya K, Aboyans V, Abraham J, Adair T, Aggarwal R, Ahn SY, Alvarado M, Anderson HR,

- Anderson LM, Andrews KG, Atkinson C, Baddour LM, Barker-Collo S, Bartels DH, Bell ML, Benjamin EJ, Bennett D, Bhalla K, Bikbov B, Bin Abdulhak A, Birbeck G, Blyth F, Bolliger I, Boufous S, Bucello C, Burch M, Burney P, Carapetis J, Chen H, Chou D, Chugh SS, Coffeng LE, Colan SD, Colquhoun S, Colson KE, Condon J, Connor MD, Cooper LT, Corriere M, Cortinovis M, de Vaccaro KC, Couser W, Cowie BC, Criqui MH, Cross M, Dabhadkar KC, Dahodwala N, De Leo D, Degenhardt L, Delossantos A, Denenberg J, Des Jarlais DC, Dharmaratne SD, Dorsey ER, Driscoll T, Duber H, Ebel B, Erwin PJ, Espindola P, Ezzati M, Feigin V, Flaxman AD, Forouzanfar MH, Fowkes FG, Franklin R, Fransen M, Freeman MK, Gabriel SE, Gakidou E, Gaspari F, Gillum RF, Gonzalez-Medina D, Halasa YA, Haring D, Harrison JE, Havmoeller R, Hay RJ, Hoen B, Hotez PJ, Hoy D, Jacobsen KH, James SL, Jasrasaria R, Jayaraman S, Johns N, Karthikeyan G, Kassebaum N, Keren A, Khoo JP, Knowlton LM, Kobusingye O, Koranteng A, Krishnamurthi R, Lipnick M, Lipshultz SE, Ohno SL, Mabweijano J, MacIntyre MF, Mallinger L, March L, Marks GB, Marks R, Matsumori A, Matzopoulos R, Mayosi BM, McAnulty JH, McDermott MM, McGrath J, Mensah GA, Merriman TR, Michaud C, Miller M, Miller TR, Mock C, Mocumbi AO, Mokdad AA, Moran A, Mulholland K, Nair MN, Naldi L, Narayan KM, Nasser K, Norman P, O'Donnell M, Omer SB, Ortblad K, Osborne R, Ozgediz D, Pahari B, Pandian JD, Rivero AP, Padilla RP, Perez-Ruiz F, Perico N, Phillips D, Pierce K, Pope CA 3rd, Porrini E, Pourmalek F, Raju M, Ranganathan D, Rehm JT, Rein DB, Remuzzi G, Rivara FP, Roberts T, De León FR, Rosenfeld LC, Rushton L, Sacco RL, Salomon JA, Sampson U, Sanman E, Schwebel DC, Segui-Gomez M, Shepard DS, Singh D, Singleton J, Sliwa K, Smith E, Steer A, Taylor JA, Thomas B, Tleyjeh IM, Towbin JA, Truelsen T, Undurraga EA, Venketasubramanian N, Vijayakumar L, Vos T, Wagner GR, Wang M, Wang W, Watt K, Weinstock MA, Weintraub R, Wilkinson JD, Woolf AD, Wulf S, Yeh PH, Yip P, Zabetian A, Zheng ZJ, Lopez AD, Murray CJ, AlMazroa MA, Memish ZA. Global and regional mortality from 235 causes of death for 20 age groups in 1990 and 2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* 2012; **380**: 2095-2128 [PMID: 23245604 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61728-0]
- 3 **GBD 2019 Diseases and Injuries Collaborators.** Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990-2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet* 2020; **396**: 1204-1222 [PMID: 33069326 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30925-9]
 - 4 **Lawrence VA, Cornell JE, Smetana GW; American College of Physicians.** Strategies to reduce postoperative pulmonary complications after noncardiothoracic surgery: systematic review for the American College of Physicians. *Ann Intern Med* 2006; **144**: 596-608 [PMID: 16618957 DOI: 10.7326/0003-4819-144-8-200604180-00011]
 - 5 **Mazo V, Sabaté S, Canet J, Gallart L, de Abreu MG, Belda J, Langeron O, Hoeft A, Pelosi P.** Prospective external validation of a predictive score for postoperative pulmonary complications. *Anesthesiology* 2014; **121**: 219-231 [PMID: 24901240 DOI: 10.1097/ALN.0000000000000334]
 - 6 **Learmonth ID, Young C, Rorabeck C.** The operation of the century: total hip replacement. *Lancet* 2007; **370**: 1508-1519 [PMID: 17964352 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60457-7]
 - 7 **Restrepo C, Parvizi J, Kurtz SM, Sharkey PF, Hozack WJ, Rothman RH.** The noisy ceramic hip: is component malpositioning the cause? *J Arthroplasty* 2008; **23**: 643-649 [PMID: 18655949 DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2008.04.001]
 - 8 **White SM, Moppett IK, Griffiths R, Johansen A, Wakeman R, Boulton C, Plant F, Williams A, Pappenheim K, Majeed A, Currie CT, Grocott MP.** Secondary analysis of outcomes after 11,085 hip fracture operations from the prospective UK Anaesthesia Sprint Audit of Practice (ASAP-2). *Anaesthesia* 2016; **71**: 506-514 [PMID: 26940645 DOI: 10.1111/anae.13415]
 - 9 **Slinger P.** Pro: low tidal volume is indicated during one-lung ventilation. *Anesth Analg* 2006; **103**: 268-270 [PMID: 16861400 DOI: 10.1213/01.ane.00000223701.24874.c8]
 - 10 **Frink EJ Jr, Malan TP, Atlas M, Dominguez LM, DiNardo JA, Brown BR Jr.** Clinical comparison of sevoflurane and isoflurane in healthy patients. *Anesth Analg* 1992; **74**: 241-245 [PMID: 1731544 DOI: 10.1213/00000539-199202000-00012]
 - 11 **Voigtsberger S, Lachmann RA, Leutert AC, Schläpfer M, Booy C, Reyes L, Urner M, Schild J, Schimmer RC, Beck-Schimmer B.** Sevoflurane ameliorates gas exchange and attenuates lung damage in experimental lipopolysaccharide-induced lung injury. *Anesthesiology* 2009; **111**: 1238-1248 [PMID: 19934867 DOI: 10.1097/ALN.0b013e3181bd857]
 - 12 **Reutershan J, Chang D, Hayes JK, Ley K.** Protective effects of isoflurane pretreatment in endotoxin-induced lung injury. *Anesthesiology* 2006; **104**: 511-517 [PMID: 16508399 DOI: 10.1097/00000542-200603000-00019]
 - 13 **De Conno E, Steurer MP, Wittlinger M, Zalunardo MP, Weder W, Schneiter D, Schimmer RC, Klaghofer R, Neff TA, Schmid ER, Spahn DR, Z'graggen BR, Urner M, Beck-Schimmer B.** Anesthetic-induced improvement of the inflammatory response to one-lung ventilation. *Anesthesiology* 2009; **110**: 1316-1326 [PMID: 19417610 DOI: 10.1097/ALN.0b013e3181a10731]
 - 14 **Schilling T, Kozian A, Kretzschmar M, Huth C, Welte T, Bühling F, Hedenstierna G, Hachenberg T.** Effects of propofol and desflurane anaesthesia on the alveolar inflammatory response to one-lung ventilation. *Br J Anaesth* 2007; **99**: 368-375 [PMID: 17621602 DOI: 10.1093/bja/aem184]
 - 15 **Schilling T, Kozian A, Huth C, Bühling F, Kretzschmar M, Welte T, Hachenberg T.** The pulmonary immune effects of mechanical ventilation in patients undergoing thoracic surgery. *Anesth Analg* 2005; **101**: 957-965 [PMID: 16192502 DOI: 10.1213/01.ane.0000172112.02902.77]
 - 16 **Zysman M, Rubenstein J, Le Guillou F, Colson RMH, Pochulu C, Grassion L, Escamilla R, Piperno D, Pon J, Khan S, Raherison-Semjen C.** COPD burden on sexual well-being. *Respir Res* 2020; **21**: 311 [PMID: 33238993 DOI: 10.1186/s12931-020-01572-0]
 - 17 **Canet J, Gallart L, Gomar C, Paluzie G, Vallès J, Castillo J, Sabaté S, Mazo V, Briones Z, Sanchis J; ARISCAT Group.** Prediction of postoperative pulmonary complications in a population-based surgical cohort. *Anesthesiology* 2010; **113**: 1338-1350 [PMID: 21045639 DOI: 10.1097/ALN.0b013e3181fc6e0a]
 - 18 **Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien PA.** Classification of surgical complications: a new proposal with evaluation in a cohort of 6336 patients and results of a survey. *Ann Surg* 2004; **240**: 205-213 [PMID: 15273542 DOI: 10.1097/01.sla.0000133083.54934.ae]
 - 19 **Zheng J, Zhong N.** Normative values of pulmonary function testing in Chinese adults. *Chin Med J (Engl)* 2002; **115**: 50-54 [PMID: 11930658]
 - 20 **Haines KL, Agarwal S.** Postoperative Pulmonary Complications-A Multifactorial Outcome. *JAMA Surg* 2017; **152**: 166-167 [PMID: 27829075 DOI: 10.1001/jamasurg.2016.4102]
 - 21 **Canet J, Sabaté S, Mazo V, Gallart L, de Abreu MG, Belda J, Langeron O, Hoeft A, Pelosi P; PERISCOPE group.** Development and validation of a score to predict postoperative respiratory failure in a multicentre European cohort: A prospective, observational study. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2015; **32**: 458-470 [PMID: 26020123 DOI: 10.1097/EJA.0000000000000223]
 - 22 **Barnes PJ.** Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: effects beyond the lungs. *PLoS Med* 2010; **7**: e1000220 [PMID: 20305715 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000220]
 - 23 **Smetana GW, Lawrence VA, Cornell JE; American College of Physicians.** Preoperative pulmonary risk stratification for noncardiothoracic surgery: systematic review for the American College of Physicians. *Ann Intern Med* 2006; **144**: 581-595 [PMID: 16618956 DOI: 10.7326/0003-4819-144-8-200604180-00009]

- 24 **Vasileiou I**, Xanthos T, Koudouna E, Perrea D, Klonaris C, Katsargyris A, Papadimitriou L. Propofol: a review of its non-anaesthetic effects. *Eur J Pharmacol* 2009; **605**: 1-8 [PMID: [19248246](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.ejphar.2009.01.007](#)]
- 25 **Liu R**, Ishibe Y, Ueda M. Isoflurane-sevoflurane administration before ischemia attenuates ischemia-reperfusion-induced injury in isolated rat lungs. *Anesthesiology* 2000; **92**: 833-840 [PMID: [10719962](#) DOI: [10.1097/00005542-200003000-00027](#)]
- 26 **Kehl F**, Krolikowski JG, Mraovic B, Pagel PS, Warltier DC, Kersten JR. Is isoflurane-induced preconditioning dose related? *Anesthesiology* 2002; **96**: 675-680 [PMID: [11873044](#) DOI: [10.1097/00005542-200203000-00025](#)]
- 27 **Gan TJ**, Meyer TA, Apfel CC, Chung F, Davis PJ, Habib AS, Hooper VD, Kovac AL, Kranke P, Myles P, Philip BK, Samsa G, Sessler DI, Temo J, Tramèr MR, Vander Kolk C, Watcha M; Society for Ambulatory Anesthesia. Society for Ambulatory Anesthesia guidelines for the management of postoperative nausea and vomiting. *Anesth Analg* 2007; **105**: 1615-1628, table of contents [PMID: [18042859](#) DOI: [10.1213/01.ane.0000295230.55439.f4](#)]
- 28 **Borgeat A**, Wilder-Smith OH, Saiah M, Rifat K. Subhypnotic doses of propofol possess direct antiemetic properties. *Anesth Analg* 1992; **74**: 539-541 [PMID: [1554120](#) DOI: [10.1213/00000539-199204000-00013](#)]
- 29 **Kehlet H**, Dahl JB. Anaesthesia, surgery, and challenges in postoperative recovery. *Lancet* 2003; **362**: 1921-1928 [PMID: [14667752](#) DOI: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(03\)14966-5](#)]
- 30 **Vogelmeier CF**, Criner GJ, Martinez FJ, Anzueto A, Barnes PJ, Bourbeau J, Celli BR, Chen R, Decramer M, Fabbri LM, Frith P, Halpin DM, López Varela MV, Nishimura M, Roche N, Rodríguez-Roisin R, Sin DD, Singh D, Stockley R, Vestbo J, Wedzicha JA, Agustí A. Global Strategy for the Diagnosis, Management, and Prevention of Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease 2017 Report. GOLD Executive Summary. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2017; **195**: 557-582 [PMID: [28128970](#) DOI: [10.1164/rccm.201701-0218PP](#)]
- 31 **Fernandez-Bustamante A**, Frendl G, Sprung J, Kor DJ, Subramaniam B, Martinez Ruiz R, Lee JW, Henderson WG, Moss A, Mehdiratta N, Colwell MM, Bartels K, Kolodzie K, Giquel J, Vidal Melo MF. Postoperative Pulmonary Complications, Early Mortality, and Hospital Stay Following Noncardiothoracic Surgery: A Multicenter Study by the Perioperative Research Network Investigators. *JAMA Surg* 2017; **152**: 157-166 [PMID: [27829093](#) DOI: [10.1001/jamasurg.2016.4065](#)]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-3991568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>

<https://www.wjgnet.com>

