

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Diabetes

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 12448

**Title:** Ocular complications of diabetes mellitus

**Reviewer code:** 02446612

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2014-07-09 09:02

**Date reviewed:** 2014-08-28 23:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A comprehensive review on the various ocular complications associated with diabetes. I found the information to be accurate. In the journal's reviewer section I was able to attain the text of the manuscript but could not find the references cited within the text so I can not speak to their accuracy or validity. My biggest concern with the paper is that it is too detailed in some sections to be of value to the average reader wanting more information on the topic. In particular, the retinopathy section, while very thorough, seemed more appropriate to an ophthalmologist than a clinician wanting more information on the treatment of ocular complications. I strongly recommend the authors rethink the detail of some sections and understand the audience most likely to read the manuscript. Specific comments: Title/Intro okay Classification of DR section was choppy and difficult to follow. Under 'First examination and follow-up' subsection: The first two paragraphs should highlight whether the information provided pertains to Type 1 or Type 2 DM and that the screening timing and frequency are consistent with leading guidelines on the topic. Under "control of blood pressure" subsection: I would remove the specific BP goals listed as you didn't include < 140/80 or < 130/80 from two other leading guidelines. I would simply stress the need to optimize BP control given the numerous goals now out there and the fact no one knows which is best. The laser treatment section of DR treatment is at such a high level of detail to not be of use to the average reader. Cataract section / pathogenesis: some of the info on the polyol pathway is redundant from what was presented in previous sections. would suggest to focus only on what is pertinent to this particular complication. The 'current therapy'



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

for cataracts is also written at too high a level.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Diabetes

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 12448

**Title:** Ocular complications of diabetes mellitus

**Reviewer code:** 00505222

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2014-07-09 09:02

**Date reviewed:** 2014-08-11 12:30

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, This manuscript is very good and well summarized about ocular complications of Diabetes Mellitus. With some revision, it might be an excellent article. 1. Please add reference lists. 2. When describing pathogenesis of Diabetic retinopathy, some figures might be helpful to understand the pathogenesis like polyol pathway, protein kinase C pathway. 3. In page 13, please modify "Severe nonclearing" into "Severe nonclearing vitreous hemorrhage"