

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Surgical Procedures

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 15106

**Title:** Current management of acute type B aortic dissection

**Reviewer's code:** 00504150

**Reviewer's country:** Canada

**Science editor:** Yue-Li Tian

**Date sent for review:** 2014-11-08 21:22

**Date reviewed:** 2015-01-06 02:22

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript entitled, "Current Management of Acute Type B Aortic Dissection" by Iranmanesh and Ricotta, is sufficiently informative and easy to read except for a few points. 1st page, misspelling in the title ("if" should be "of") The abstract seems to equivocate. There is one illustrated figure between Figure 1 and Figure 2. There is also another illustrated figure embedded in Figure 2. I suspect that the authors forgot to remove these.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Surgical Procedures

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 15106

**Title:** Current management of acute type B aortic dissection

**Reviewer's code:** 00503204

**Reviewer's country:** Greece

**Science editor:** Yue-Li Tian

**Date sent for review:** 2014-11-08 21:22

**Date reviewed:** 2015-01-12 19:18

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a comprehensive review of the management of acute type B aortic dissections. Dr. Ricotta is a well-know expert in the field and this review article gives all the necessary information on the topic.  
Kosmas I. Paraskevas, MD

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Surgical Procedures

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 15106

**Title:** Current management of acute type B aortic dissection

**Reviewer's code:** 00505453

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Yue-Li Tian

**Date sent for review:** 2014-11-08 21:22

**Date reviewed:** 2014-12-27 07:49

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this article, the author reviewed current literatures with regards to studies of contemporary management of acute type B aortic dissection (TBAD). In the recent years, new technique and methods were invented and applied to medical practice. As a result, surgeons are becoming more confident to surgeries considered high-risk, such as carotid body tumor and TBAD. In this review article, the author analyzed the advantage and disadvantage of thoracic endovascular aortic repair (TEVAR) in the treatment of TBAD. The results and information are significant helpful for vascular surgeons.