



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 15878

**Title:** Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm of the bile duct: the diagnostic value of Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced MRI

**Reviewer's code:** 00058510

**Reviewer's country:** United Kingdom

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2014-12-18 08:19

**Date reviewed:** 2015-01-01 21:24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Whilst well constructed, the written english needs attention in a number of places to improve understanding. Discussion and presentation is generally of a good standard.



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 15878

**Title:** Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm of the bile duct: the diagnostic value of Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced MRI

**Reviewer's code:** 02537303

**Reviewer's country:** Germany

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2014-12-18 08:19

**Date reviewed:** 2015-01-19 16:53

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, thank you for submitting this manuscript about the highly interesting topic of challenging diagnostic in neoplasm of the bile duct. You reported on 5 cases, but diagnostics an therapy are very heterogenous within this small group. Only one had a PET-CT and only two an complete pathological investigation after surgery. Due to this strong limitations of the study any conclusions cannot be supported by the data given. Therefore I recommend to reject this manuscript, although introduction and parts of discussion are well written and reflect the situation for this rare disease. Sincerely Reviewer



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 15878

**Title:** Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm of the bile duct: the diagnostic value of Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced MRI

**Reviewer's code:** 00071501

**Reviewer's country:** India

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2014-12-18 08:19

**Date reviewed:** 2015-01-21 01:22

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Good compilation of 5 case of intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm of the bile duct and the diagnostic value of Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced MRI. The observation on findings in these cases may required large series and further study to validate the findings and its usefulness



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 15878

**Title:** Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm of the bile duct: the diagnostic value of Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced MRI

**Reviewer's code:** 00053417

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2014-12-18 08:19

**Date reviewed:** 2014-12-18 21:58

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced MR is a new technique using double-specific contrast agent that has the consistent enhancement effects. It may delineate mucin as a filling defect surrounding hyperintense bile, and provide better imaging for the diagnosis of intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm of the bile duct (IPMN-B). This manuscript retrospectively analyzed 5 cases of pathologically-proved IPMN-B and suggested that it was a valuable examination to be chosen. The manuscript introduced Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced MR to readers. There is a problem for the manuscript. The study is too specific to the readers of this journal, not to the majority of them.



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 15878

**Title:** Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm of the bile duct: the diagnostic value of Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced MRI

**Reviewer's code:** 00068184

**Reviewer's country:** Iran

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2014-12-18 08:19

**Date reviewed:** 2015-01-03 04:56

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting series of Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm of the bile duct that was evaluated by Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced MRI for better evaluation of the lesion and differentiation between tumor invasion and inflammation. I think the quality of manuscript is good, the English writing needs minor revision.



**ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 15878

**Title:** Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm of the bile duct: the diagnostic value of Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced MRI

**Reviewer's code:** 00182188

**Reviewer's country:** Brazil

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2014-12-18 08:19

**Date reviewed:** 2015-01-09 01:46

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The article is relevant because is difficult to make an accurate diagnosis preoperatively of Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm of the bile duct ( IPNB). The IPNB has low incidence and lack of a specific clinical manifestation and imaging findings. The diagnostic value of Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced MRI is an important contribution because mucin plugs or sloughed masses may be confused with stones. The manuscript has interesting information and can be published, but I have some recommendations. 1) Table 1 or 2: showing the imaging patterns according classified into five subtypes; 2) Discussion: Highlight the contribution of the findings for the differential diagnosis among the cystic lesions of biliary tract and between mucin plugs and biliary sludge and stones; 3) Figure 3 (white arrow) at T2WI (c). I think is black arrow.