

Reviewer #1: In this article the authors present a rare case of pediatric patient with CPA. The article is good, and my criticism is minor. There is no need for table 1. Many authors reported the review of all pediatric CPA published up to date. However, in this table is no Peng et al. who presented two patients age 4 and five and Fierstra et al. with male and female child both aged 11 years. This is a quality case report and I recommend it for publishing.

Response to Reviewer #1: Thank you so much for your positive comments. We deleted table 1, and data from four cases from Peng et al. and Fierstra et al. were added to the review of reports and re-analysed statistically based on the updated data.