

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

Manuscript NO: 33763

Title: Medication non-adherence in bipolar disorder: Review of rates, demographic and clinical predictors

Reviewer's code: 02460781

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-03-02

Date reviewed: 2017-03-14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review has some significance for clinical epidemic investigation. The following should be modified, 1 the abstract is too long. 2 the language should be polished.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

Manuscript NO: 33763

Title: Medication non-adherence in bipolar disorder: Review of rates, demographic and clinical predictors

Reviewer's code: 02467561

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-03-25

Date reviewed: 2017-04-04

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, The manuscript "Medication non-adherence in bipolar disorder: review of rates, demographic and clinical predictors" is well organized and written, the used methodology is rigorous and the conclusions are coherent with the main findings. However, in my opinion, before acceptance for publication, some minor revisions are needed. **ABSTRACT** - The abstract is too long and should be synthesized. **RESULTS** - The results section should only report results without any other additional consideration. Therefore, the paragraph "Social and cultural factors are likely to play a major role in determining treatment-adherence in BD [154], but the evidence that socio-demographic characteristics of patients influence their medication taking behaviour is inconsistent among patients with BD" should be removed from the results and more appropriately included in the discussion. **DISCUSSION** (pag. 20) - The sentence "However, the mean rate of non-adherence (36%) among studies with longer durations (> 1 year) was lower than the average rate..." is not clear.