

ANSWERING REVIEWERS

ESPS Manuscript NO: 33361

Dear Editor and Reviewers,

Thank you for your precious time spent in reviewing the work.

Reviewer 00724887:

Comments To Authors: Well written review

As English language is not our first language, we have send the manuscript to an editing service (see the certificate attached).

Reviewer 00717554:

Comments To Authors: I would like to mention the following comments: 1-Abstract is too short, without any categorized parts. 2-The format of article does not follow the format of systematic review. 3-The search method is not clear. It needs more explanation. 4-The tables and figures should be explained in a right place. 5- As a reader, the article should be rewritten with more structured design, in order to give its message to the reader.

1-The abstract has been expanded but not subdivided into parts, as it is not required by the minireview format.

2-This work is a minireview and not a systematic review.

3- The search method has been expanded.

4- According our opinion the tables and figures are placed correctly in the text.

5- Really, we appreciate your review of our work, but sincerely we don't think to make such profound changes to a minireview.

Reviewer 00227403:

The authors should clarify: 1) the method used to choose the patients; 2) the rate of complete examination of the colon.

1)The patients were choose from the program for the colorectal cancer screening, which is operating at our Hospital. 2)All the colonoscopies have been conducted until the cecum.

We thank you again for reviewing the article, your suggestions were very much appreciated.

Kind regards,

Maddalena Zippi , Wandong Hong, Pietro Crispino, Giampiero Traversa