

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Nephrology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 12274

**Title:** Acute kidney injury due to bilateral ureteral obstruction in children, INVITATION

**ID:** 02551708

**Reviewer code:** 00503043

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2014-06-30 13:50

**Date reviewed:** 2014-07-10 08:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Author reviewed causes of bilateral or potentially bilateral ureteral obstruction in children. This manuscript can be recommended for publication after mini revision.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Nephrology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 12274

**Title:** Acute kidney injury due to bilateral ureteral obstruction in children, INVITATION

**ID:** 02551708

**Reviewer code:** 00503173

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2014-06-30 13:50

**Date reviewed:** 2014-07-13 14:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Generally, have clinical importance for better practice. However, language should be polished. Especially the beginning should be shorter and more direct.