



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

### ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 12251

**Title:** Colorectal carcinogenesis -update and perspectives

**Reviewer code:** 00058198

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2014-06-29 10:06

**Date reviewed:** 2014-07-11 08:14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Author Thank you for your interesting presentation

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 12251

**Title:** Colorectal carcinogenesis -update and perspectives

**Reviewer code:** 00058401

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2014-06-29 10:06

**Date reviewed:** 2014-07-14 00:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Congratulations for the excellence of your work.I commented only no reference to hypergastrinemia brought about by longterm use of PPI.There are conflicting conclusions in medical litterature,although most deny any influence,but the world experience is still short.My best regards.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 12251

**Title:** Colorectal carcinogenesis -update and perspectives

**Reviewer code:** 00058345

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2014-06-29 10:06

**Date reviewed:** 2014-07-14 14:07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors' have discussed the genetic and chromosomal basis of colorectal carcinogenesis in a lucid manner. However, since the focus of this article is genetic implications in carcinogenesis, some sections are deviating from this theme and need to be edited. In the section on Lynch syndrome, it is suggested that the authors' simply describe the genetic mechanisms of the disease and omit evaluation and clinical parameters. Similarly, in the section titled 'Clinical Perspectives', the authors' have needlessly discussed surgical treatment including laparoscopic excision and local excision, as well as radiotherapy, which are not relevant to the topic under discussion. Instead, the authors' need to discuss the role of genetic testing of patients in order to tailor the treatment and individualise therapy.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 12251

**Title:** Colorectal carcinogenesis -update and perspectives

**Reviewer code:** 02461109

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2014-06-29 10:06

**Date reviewed:** 2014-07-15 18:27

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript summarizes the topics of colorectal cancer. However, some more information needs to be added. 1. The authors cited recent comprehensive reports from the World Cancer Research Fund and the American Institute for Cancer Research, and the reports also mentioned the correlation between obesity and colorectal cancer. In this review, however, description of an important risk factor, obesity, is missing. Please add a section regarding obesity and colorectal cancer. 2. Some abbreviations are not used through the whole text, i.e. CRC for colorectal cancer, g for grams. Please modify this point.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 12251

**Title:** Colorectal carcinogenesis -update and perspectives

**Reviewer code:** 02801107

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2014-06-29 10:06

**Date reviewed:** 2014-07-26 03:33

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I appreciate the scope of the review. However, and perhaps because of this scope, this review does not cover many aspects of colorectal carcinogenesis adequately. Parts of this review also appear somewhat disorganized and it is quite unfocused. I would suggest starting the review with risk factors and protective factors divided by magnitude of risk - divide to strong, moderate and modest. Then you could proceed with types of colorectal carcinogenesis pathways (CIN, MMR, CIMP). If you decide to discuss polyposis syndromes then do it all or make a comprehensive table, don't be selective, for example HNPCC is broadly covered, yet the role of EPCAM gene is not covered. The role of MYH and other polyposis syndromes are simply missing. Large parts of text on dietary risk factors are simply speculative or inadequate. For example the paragraph on fiber has 3 references, yet this is a topic with lots of evidence, including RCTs and metaanalyses, most recent metaanalysis on fiber and risk of adenomas was published this year in Gastro. Nearly all aspects of this review are deficient, there is simply too many to list them all. I believe the authors tried to take on a topic that is too broad and they had to compromise the content due to space limitations. More focused review would allow the authors to adequately cover the state of the art. All the best and good luck with the second draft