Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited
Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology
ESPS Manuscript NO: 6506
Title: Pros & Cons of Liver Transplantation in HIV Infected Recipients
Reviewer code: 00724474
Science editor: Ma, Ya-Juan
Date sent for review: 2013-10-22 18:28
Date reviewed: 2013-11-05 02:01

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[Y] Grade A (Excellent)	[Y] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[ ] Grade B (Very good)	[ ] Grade B: minor language polishing	[ ] Existed	[ ] High priority for publication
[ ] Grade C (Good)	[ ] Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	[ ] No records	
[ ] Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	[ ]Rejection
[ ] Grade E (Poor)	[ ] Grade D: rejected	[ ] Existed	[ ] Minor revision
		[ ] No records	[ ] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a brilliant reflection of the state-of-art of liver transplantation in HIV infected recipients. I have enjoy reading the contect of such interesting manuscript and I strongly recommend the publication of this manuscript. However, I would suggest some changes regarding the form. Major concerns: - Please avoid synonyms within the text using only one concept: HUV-positive/infected population/patients, hepatic/liver failure, marginal/ expanded criteria donors (nowadays the last is more correct), c-ART/HAART. - Avoid write authors within the text if possible. Authors are in the reference list and It can add substantial value to the manuscript from the professional point of view. In addition it makes easier the reading of the manuscipt. This point is an advice and obviously is not mandatory. - Abstract: 5 line: add disease on ..."end stage liver (ESLD)"... Avoid quotation marks("). - Please, consider english (american or british) correction. Written english is quite good but there are some little mistakes, such as adding "The" in the last sentence of the abstract that take out value at the great importance of this manuscript. Thank you very much for the opportunity of reading your manuscript.

Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited
Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology
ESPS Manuscript NO: 6506
Title: Pros & Cons of Liver Transplantation in HIV Infected Recipients
Reviewer code: 00012156
Science editor: Ma, Ya-Juan
Date sent for review: 2013-10-22 18:28
Date reviewed: 2013-11-18 12:08

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A (Excellent)	[Y] Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[ ] Grade B (Very good)	[ ] Grade B: minor language polishing	[ ] Existed	[Y] High priority for publication
[Y] Grade C (Good)	[ ] Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	[ ] No records	
[ ] Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	[ ]Rejection
[ ] Grade E (Poor)	[ ] Grade D: rejected	[ ] Existed	[ ] Minor revision
		[ ] No records	[ ] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Baccarani, et al. described the reviews of the recent literatures in the field of liver transplantation in HIV positive recipients with special focus on HCV/HIV co-infection and hepatocellular carcinoma in HIV recipients. The theme is very interesting, but there are some questions and comments. Major comments: 1. It is not theoretical that patients with HIV should be received the marginal donor graft or living donor graft. Authors should describe clearly the reasons for that. 2. There are many references that were not referred in the manuscript. 3. Tables are complicated and difficult to understand. Table 3 can be more simple, because only 2 paper were referred for this table. Table 4 is not necessary because the contents of table 4 were almost the same as those of table 5.