

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 3653

Title: Effect of early enteral combined with parenteral nutrition on patients undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy

Reviewer code: 00039529

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-05-13 10:19

Date reviewed: 2013-05-13 23:01

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting study and well written and referenced. You have shown that EN/PN is superior to PN alone. This begs the question of why the study design was not between EN and PN alone? Was it because that you had many failures with maintaining EN alone? Secondly, the study relies on historic controls for the comparison group. Some comment in the discussion is needed to alert the reader that a RCT might come to a different conclusion. Enjoyed reading your work.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 3653

Title: Effect of early enteral combined with parenteral nutrition on patients undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy

Reviewer code: 00012499

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-05-13 10:19

Date reviewed: 2013-05-16 23:44

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very nice study requiring minor revision. I would change the title to: Effect of early enteral combined with parenteral nutrition rather than just parenteral nutrition, on patients undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy. In the abstract PAB must be explained. Abstract: results would benefit from exact p values, so $P=0.05$, $P=0.03$ etc. rather than $4 \times P<0.05$. The introduction needs some references for the complications with total enteral feeding. In Table 3 all decreases of TP and PAB look significant to me. Please check and adjust results and discussion accordingly.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 3653

Title: Effect of early enteral combined with parenteral nutrition on patients undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy

Reviewer code: 00058446

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-05-13 10:19

Date reviewed: 2013-05-27 17:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a non-randomized retrospective study of the effect of early enteral nutrition combined with parenteral nutritional support for patients received pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD). PD is a major surgical procedure for the treatment of periampullary tumors which will result in the high incidence of complications and postoperative malnutrition, but nutritional support can improve patient's malnutrition and diminish the incidence of postoperative complications. There are some problems to be answered for the authors before the manuscript was accepted. 1.This is a only non-randomized retrospective study 2.The decrease of total bilirubin (TB), direct bilirubin (DB) and LDH were significantly related with postoperative decompression of biliary tract, how do with EEN/PN ? 3.Among the postoperative complications, the pancreatic fistula was only 5% below of Grade B, was there detail data of amylase examination of drainage liquid? 4.What is the cause of intraperitoneal bleeding ? 5.Hyperglycemia was influenced by a lot of factors, why was decreased significantly in EEN/PN group? 6.P value should be verified in the format. 7.Some words spell mistake, such as "post-operaten", "TB(umol/L)", "Duraten of surgery"