



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 20416

Title: Neoatherosclerosis: Coronary stents seal atherosclerotic lesions but result in making a new problem of atherosclerosis

Reviewer's code: 00214259

Reviewer's country: Canada

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-06-07 08:28

Date reviewed: 2015-06-15 03:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is a good review about basic and clinical studies about problems of neoatherosclerosis correlating with coronary stenting. The review is complete. I think that a section containing a discussion or integration should be added. Introducing BRS in table1 should be considered. Language polishing should be done. More specifically: Page 8 line 21: VLST.s ?(s is needed?). Page 11 line 5: Sentence should be rephrase. Page 11 line 13: Sentence should be rephrase. Page 12 line 10: Sentence should be rephrase. Page 12 line 12: Sentence should be rephrase.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

ESPS manuscript NO: 20416

Title: Neoatherosclerosis: Coronary stents seal atherosclerotic lesions but result in making a new problem of atherosclerosis

Reviewer's code: 00571492

Reviewer's country: Australia

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-06-07 08:28

Date reviewed: 2015-06-08 22:18

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review discusses the recent phenomena of neoatherosclerosis. Overall this review was well structured, presented the relevant information and discussed the topic adequately. The primary issue with the manuscript is the poor grammar and sentence structure which can be remedied by a native English speaker. Some further queries and suggestions follow. Intro: Could be more concise, for example remove or shorten the history of balloon and stent procedures. More focus could be given to introducing the new concept of neoatherosclerosis and outlining its definition. Throughout the manuscript the authors refer to 'ISR' and 'VLST' a lot, and interchange it with 'neoatherosclerosis' making it difficult for the reader to interpret. Vascular response after PCI: What is the relevance or causal relationship between the initial PCI injury and neoatherosclerosis? How does the initial damage to the vascular wall, and cellular changes influence neoatherosclerosis development? If there is no clear link then the section could be made shorter and more focused on neoatherosclerosis. Neoatherosclerosis in BMS: The authors state: "BMS showed late luminal re-narrowing beyond 4 years was common" but then say "ISR and VLST are not frequent clinical events after stent



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

implantation" is this not in disagreement? Why does neoatherosclerosis only occur in a few patients following BMS implantation? The natural progression of BMS is provided including early and late stages, but what signifies neoatherosclerosis exactly? Need a definition. Are there any theories why some patients may get neoatherosclerosis sooner than others, or not get it at all? In several sentences facts have been provided without a relevant reference. The manuscript would be significantly improved if it was edited by a native English speaker. The picture quality of Figure 1 appears to be too low and not focused. Figure legends: Need to explain all abbreviations in the figure legends.