

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Stem Cells

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 19735

**Title:** Stem cell guidance through mechanistic target of rapamycin

**Reviewer's code:** 02520388

**Reviewer's country:** Germany

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2015-05-20 14:54

**Date reviewed:** 2015-05-29 17:31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript of Kenneth Maiese deals with the exciting topic of mTOR signaling and its role in the modulation of stem cells. The author clearly describes the complexity of mTOR signaling and points out that mTOR signaling might be an interesting target for future therapeutic approaches. The text is well-written, the overall message is clear and the plethora of citations shows that the manuscript was carefully investigated. However, the following remark should be considered before publication can be recommended: The detailed description (line 61-143) of the mTOR pathway, its players and regulatory proteins may be confusing to readers that are not familiar with the topic. Especially, as there is no proper visualisation by a respective figure. The only figure of this review shows a rather reduced scheme of the pathway and its implications on stem cells. I would suggest to either include an additional figure in this paragraph which depicts the complex interactions given in the text, or to rethink if it is really necessary to bother the reader with all the different proteins and protein-protein interactions that were not even pulled together with stem cell development, survival and differentiation in this paragraph.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Stem Cells

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 19735

**Title:** Stem cell guidance through mechanistic target of rapamycin

**Reviewer's code:** 02242399

**Reviewer's country:** Taiwan

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2015-05-20 14:54

**Date reviewed:** 2015-06-01 17:04

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments to author The author wants to make a comprehensive description of the roles of mTOR in cancer stem cells. This paper is well written and pretty clear; however, the diagram (Fig. 1) is not clear enough. The correlation between different molecules, which are involved in the different mTOR-guided fates of cancer stem cells, should be drawn clearly. The clearer illustration may potentiate the importance of this manuscript.