

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 81118

Title: Effect of patient COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy on hospital care team perceptions

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05630740 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: South Korea

**Author's Country/Territory:** Israel

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-27

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-01 02:55

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-09 06:08

**Review time:** 8 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes [ ]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Reviewer's Comments RE: Manuscript#81118 Please take serious consideration to the following comments as it will determine whether the manuscript will be given final consideration for journal publication. [General] The study's title, abstract, key words, and introduction sections are all coherent and acceptable. However, it would be preferred that authors state the actual study design from the get-go, as it appears to be rather vague right from the get-go. [Hypothesis] It is preferable that authors clear indicate by specifically conveying what their aim is by using the vernacular "hypothesis." This communicates to the reader what they aim to accomplish; consider rephrasing the sentence in your thesis statement. As it is conveyed in your manuscript—due to the wording--one can't help wondering whether the aim is to investigate the "quality of care delivery" versus merely the "impressions of providers" which presumably influence the actual delivery of care to this noncompliant patient population. Please consider restructuring the hypothesis statement. [Written Work] The overall quality of this manuscript is solid with respect to organization and presentation in its pose throughout. However, there are a handful or spelling, grammar, and misnomer words; these are highlighted in "red" for authors to review and consider (see attached version of your original manuscript). I suggest correcting these areas appropriately to polish up the work worthy of publication in the journal. - Capitalized "I" 

"internal medicine" department" or "the department of Internal Medicine" - The conclusion is verbose; usually the conclusion is the 'key point' or 'take home message' which should be iterated in 1 or 2 sentences. Consider moving the other thoughts and formulate a final paragraph to be included under the discussion section and modify accordingly. [Limitations & Future Direction] Potential reporting and selection biases from



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

participants by use of questionnaire tools in the study were reported by authors as limitations. Please consider how might you address these concerns in terms of future research direction to better substantiate your findings. [STROBE Statement] Authors have NOT indicated on the statement checklist where precisely the required information has been reported/written in the prose of the manuscript. Please indicate accordingly by using 1)page and/or 2) line numbers (to indicate precise location) in sequence and indicate precisely on the accompanying STROBE checklist. Example: "Title and abstract" □ Pg.1, Lines "x" thru "y" [IRB approval & Consent forms] Signed consent form and IRB approval documentation (report just #) are in a foreign language; if journal require that all supporting documents MUST be in English, then authors need to rectify this situation. Furthermore, authors are only required to provide the reference number indicated in the IRB document. I leave this to the chief editor of the journal or final decision. [Certificate of Non-native speakers of English] Authors only provided a statement which has been signed by a co-author; if journal requires an official documentation by way of a certificate issued showing proof that the manuscript was indeed reviewed by an officially licensed certification professional. I leave this to the chief editor of the journal or final decision. Again, I leave this to the chief editor of the journal or final decision.



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 81118

Title: Effect of patient COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy on hospital care team perceptions

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05628603 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Israel

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-27

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-18 07:45

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-29 10:39

**Review time:** 11 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



# Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The author has a good idea, but the content of the preface still needs further explanation, and its importance and necessity are not fully demonstrated; 2. It is recommended to add the results of meta analysis to make the article more full and readable; 3. For the discussion part, the author also needs to add appropriate content, and the discussion part has too little content.



# RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 81118

Title: Effect of patient COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy on hospital care team perceptions

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05628603 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Israel

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-27

Reviewer chosen by: Han Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-15 07:45

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-15 07:48

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No



# SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

no other comments, the author has responded to my question point by point.