

**ESPS Peer-review Report**
**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Orthopedics

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 9508

**Title:** REHABILITATION OF SPINAL CORD INJURIES

**Reviewer code:** 00646655

**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen

**Date sent for review:** 2014-02-14 21:32

**Date reviewed:** 2014-03-27 22:41

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Comments to authors: The manuscript (Rehabilitation of Spinal Cord Injuries by Kemal et al) reviewed current clinical studies and therapeutic strategies related to the rehabilitation of patients with spinal cord injury. The manuscript should be re-organized in a clear pattern and include more aspects related to SCI rehabilitation. Comments: 1. A table with summary of the different studies in SCI rehabilitation should be generated to better present the strategies/results. 2. The authors present the discussion based on the duration of the rehabilitation ( acute, subacute and chronic). However, rehabilitation plans should be expanded according to different aspects. For example, the different injury sites(cervical, thoracic and lumbar); and age groups (pediatric, adult and seniors). 3. Since SCI rehabilitation is a chronic and difficult process, in addition to the psychological aspect, the medical cost and financial management for the SCI patients should be introduced. 4. There are numerous spelling, grammatical and layout errors. Please correct. (For example, in Introduction section, paragraph 3 line 1, 225.702 should be 225,702; paragraph 4 line 2 SCY should be SCI).

**ESPS Peer-review Report****Name of Journal:** World Journal of Orthopedics**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 9508**Title:** REHABILITATION OF SPINAL CORD INJURIES**Reviewer code:** 02446219**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen**Date sent for review:** 2014-02-14 21:32**Date reviewed:** 2014-04-03 22:31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The authors have summarized the rehabilitation after spinal cord injury. Overall, the review is well written and trying to compile findings about rehabilitation. The following are some points for authors to consider: In the manuscript, more recent studies should be used. The authors should summarize the findings and conclude at the end. The reference no 59 should be corrected.