
ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 2963

Title: A stepwise sedation method for elderly patients with COPD during upper gastrointestinal endoscopy

Reviewer code: 00722963

Science editor: l.l.wen@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-03-29 10:46

Date reviewed: 2013-04-19 07:03

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

My one complaint or criticism, refers to the labeling the groups. I think it would be easier to follow the text if the name of the group pointed to presence or absence of COPD and type of sedation.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Ms: 2963

Title: A stepwise sedation method for elderly patients with COPD during upper gastrointestinal endoscopy

Reviewer code: 01430778

Science editor: l.l.wen@wjgnet.com

Date sent for review: 2013-03-29 10:46

Date reviewed: 2013-04-29 17:03

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS:

Some shortcomings as below: 1) Title: focus on Mild/Moderate COPD groups as stated by pt selection section. 2) Patient selection: what's the definition of elderly people? Is there any declaration for definition of pure COPD cases? (Because, as well known, COPD cases usually have several comorbidities as well.) 3) Methods: (a) Uncertainty of exact procedure of stepwise method, step up? or top down?, In addition, paucity of well documentation of the procedure is also noted. (b) Is there a routinely recognized use of dilution of Propofol in daily practice? (c) Is there any anesthesiology doctor audit during the procedure? (d) Is there computer assisted intravenous pumping in giving drug? (e) Is there any other medication prescribed during the procedure? 4) Results: What's the reason for diverse difference of drug doses between COPD and Non COPD group? And choice of dose by what? 5) Discussion: More detailed theory discussion of conscious sedation is needed.